University of New Mexico



# Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces

S Sowndrarajan<sup>1</sup>, M Jeyaraman<sup>2,\*</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Part Time Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India; Email:sowndariitm@gmail.com, ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0173-8889
<sup>2</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India; Email: jeya.math@gmail.com, ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0364-1845
<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico, 705 Gurley Avenue, Gallup, NM 87301, USA \*Correspondence: jeya.math@gmail.com

**Abstract**. In this article, we present fixed and common fixed point results for Banach and Edelstein contraction theorems in neutrosophic metric spaces. Then some properties and examples are given for neutrosophic metric spaces. Thus, we added a new path in neutrosophic theory to obtain fixed point results. we investigate and prove some contraction theorems that are extended to neutrosophic metric space with the assistance of Grabice.

Keywords: Fixed point; Neutrosophic Metric Space; Banach Contraction; Edelstein Contraction.

# 1. Introduction

Fuzzy Sets was presented by Zadeh [20] as a class of elements with a grade of membership. Kramosil and Michalek [9] defined new notion called Fuzzy Metric Space (FMS). Later, many authors have examined the concept of fuzzy metric in various aspects. In 1984 Kaleva and Seikkala [8] have characterized the FMS, where separation between any two points to be positive number. In particular, George and Veeramani [4,5] redefined the concept of fuzzy metric space with the assistance of continuous t-norm, and continuous t-co norm. FMS has utilized in applied science fields such as fixed point theory, decision making, medical imaging and signal processing. Heilpern [7] defined fuzzy contraction for Fixed point theorem. Park [14] defined Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space (IFMS) from the concept of FMS and given some fixed point results. Fixed point theorems related to FMS and IFMS given by Alaca et al [2] and nemerous researchers [13,19].In 1998, Smarandache [16] characterized the new concept called

S. Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman and Florentin Smarandache ; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces

neutrosophic logic and neutrosophic set. In the idea of neutrosophic sets, there is T degree of membership, I degree of indeterminacy and F degree of non-membership. A neutrosophic value is appeared by (T, I, F). Hence, neutrosophic logic and neutrosophic set assists us to brief many uncertainties in our lives. In addition, several researchers have made significant development on this theory [26–30]. Recently, Baset et al. [22–25] explored the neutrosophic applications in different fields such as model for sustainable supply chain risk management, resource levelling problem in construction projects, Decision Making and financial performance evaluation of manufacturing industries. In fact, the idea of fuzzy sets deals with only a degree of membership. In addition, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set established while adding degree of non - membership with degree of membership. But these degrees are characterized relatively one another. Therefore, neutrosophic set is a generalized state of fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy set by incorporating degree of indeterminacy. In 2019, Kirisci et al [10, 11] defined neutrosophic metric space as a generalization of IFMS and brings about fixed point theorems in complete neutrosophic metric space.

In this paper, we investigate and prove some contraction theorems that are extended to neutrosophic metric space with the assistance of Grabiec [6].

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [17] Let  $\Sigma$  be a non-empty fixed set. A Neutrosophic Set (NS for short) Nin  $\Sigma$  is an object having the form  $N = \{\langle a, \xi_N(a), \varrho_N(a), \nu_N(a) \rangle : a \in \Sigma\}$  where the functions  $\xi_N(a), \varrho_N(a)$  and  $\nu_N(a)$  represent the degree of membership, degree of indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership respectively of each element  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  to the set  $\Sigma$ .

A neutrosophic set  $N = \{ \langle a, \xi_N(a), \varrho_N(a), \nu_N(a) \rangle : a \in \Sigma \}$  is expressed as an ordered triple  $N = \langle a, \xi_N(a), \varrho_N(a), \nu_N(a) \rangle$  in  $\Sigma$ .

In NS, there is no restriction on  $(\xi_N(a), \varrho_N(a), \nu_N(a))$  other than they are subsets of ]<sup>-0</sup>, 1<sup>+</sup>[

**Remark 2.2** [10] Neutrosophic Set N is included in another Neutrosophic set  $\Gamma$  ( $N \subseteq \Gamma$ ) if and only if

$$\begin{split} & \inf \xi_N(a) \leq \inf \xi_{\Gamma}(a) \quad \sup \xi_N(a) \leq \sup \xi_{\Gamma}(a) \\ & \inf \varrho_N(a) \geq \inf \rho_{\Gamma}(a) \quad \sup \varrho_N(a) \geq \sup \varrho_{\Gamma}(a) \\ & \inf \nu_N(a) \geq \inf \nu_{\Gamma}(a) \quad \sup \nu_N(a) \geq \sup \nu_{\Gamma}(a) \end{split}$$

Triangular Norms (TNs) were initiated by menger. Triangular co norms(TCs) knowns as dual operations of triangular norms (TNs).

**Definition 2.3** [4] A binary operation  $\star : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called continuous t - norm (CTN) if it satisfies the following conditions;

For all  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in [0, 1]$ 

(i)  $\varepsilon_1 \star 0 = \varepsilon_1$ ;

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

(ii) If  $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_4$  then  $\varepsilon_1 \star \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_3 \star \varepsilon_4$ ;

(iii)  $\star$  is continuous;

(iv)  $\star$  is commutative and associative.

**Definition 2.4** [4] A binary operation  $\diamond : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called continuous t - co norm (CTC) if it satisfies the following conditions;

For all  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in [0, 1]$ 

(i) 
$$\varepsilon_1 \diamond 0 = \varepsilon_1;$$

(ii) If  $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_4$  then  $\varepsilon_1 \diamond \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_3 \diamond \varepsilon_4$ ;

(iii)  $\diamond$  is continuous;

(iv)  $\diamond$  is commutative and associative.

**Remark 2.5** From the definitions of CTN and CTC, we note that if we take  $0 < \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 < 1$  for  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$  then there exist  $0 < \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 < 1$  such that  $\varepsilon_1 \star \varepsilon_3 \ge \varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon_1 \ge \varepsilon_2 \diamond \varepsilon_4$ .

Further we choose  $\varepsilon_5 \in (0,1)$  then there exists  $\varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_7 \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varepsilon_6 \star \varepsilon_6 \geq \varepsilon_5$  and  $\varepsilon_7 \diamond \varepsilon_7 \leq \varepsilon_5$ .

**Definition 2.6** [13] A Sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is called s - non-decreasing sequence if there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_m \leq t_{m+1}$  for all  $m > m_0$ .

### 3. Neutrosophic Metric Space

In this section, we apply neutrosophic theory to generalize the Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. we also discuss some properties and examples in it.

**Definition 3.1** A 6 - tuple  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  is called Neutrosophic Metric Space(NMS), if  $\Sigma$  is an arbitrary non empty set,  $\star$  is a neutrosophic CTN and  $\diamond$  is a neutrosophic CTC and  $\Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon$ are neutrosophic sets on  $\Sigma^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the following conditions:

For all 
$$\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \Sigma, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$$
  
(i)  $0 \leq \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1$ ;  
(ii)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) + \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) + \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 3$ ;  
(iii)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$  if and only if  $\zeta = \eta$ ;  
(iv)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = \Xi(\eta, \zeta, \lambda)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ;  
(v)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \star \Xi(\eta, \zeta, \mu) \leq \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \lambda + \mu)$ , for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ;  
(vi)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is neutrosophic continuous;  
(vii)  $lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;  
(viii)  $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\zeta = \eta$ ;  
(ix)  $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = \Theta(\eta, \zeta, \lambda)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ;  
(x)  $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \diamond \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \mu) \geq \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \lambda + \mu)$ , for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ;  
(xi)  $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is neutrosophic continuous;  
(xii)  $lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;

(xiii)  $\Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\zeta = \eta$ ;

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

- (xiv)  $\Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = \Upsilon(\eta, \zeta, \lambda)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (xv)  $\Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \mu) \ge \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \lambda + \mu)$ , for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ;
- (xvi)  $\Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is neutrosophic continuous ;
- (xvii)  $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (xviii) If  $\lambda > 0$  then  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0, \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1, \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$ .

Then  $(\Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon)$  is called Neutrosophic Metric on  $\Sigma$ . The functions  $\Xi, \Theta$  and  $\Upsilon$  denote degree of closedness, neuralness and non - closedness between  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  with respect to  $\lambda$  respectively.

**Example 3.2** Let  $(\Sigma, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $\zeta \star \eta = \min\{\zeta, \eta\}$  and  $\zeta \diamond \eta = \max\{\zeta, \eta\}$ , and  $\Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon : \Sigma^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$  defined by , we define

$$\Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + d(\zeta,\eta)}; \quad \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \frac{d(\zeta,\eta)}{\lambda + d(\zeta,\eta)}; \quad \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \frac{d(\zeta,\eta)}{\lambda}$$

for all  $\zeta, \eta \in \Sigma$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  is called neutrosophic metric space induced by a metric d the standard neutrosophic metric.

**Example 3.3** If we take  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}$ , consider the CTN, CTC are  $\zeta \star \eta = \min\{\zeta, \eta\}$  and  $\zeta \diamond \eta = \max\{\zeta, \eta\}, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon : \Sigma^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$  defined by

$$\Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\zeta}{\eta} & if \quad \zeta \leq \eta\\ \frac{\eta}{\zeta} & if \quad \eta \leq \zeta \end{cases}$$
$$\Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta-\zeta}{\eta} & if \quad \zeta \leq \eta\\ \frac{\zeta-\eta}{\zeta} & if \quad \eta \leq \zeta \end{cases}$$
$$\Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \eta-\zeta & if \quad \zeta \leq \eta\\ \zeta-\eta & if \quad \eta \leq \zeta \end{cases}$$

for all  $\zeta, \eta \in \Sigma$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $\Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon : \Sigma^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$  is a NMS.

**Remark 3.4** In Neutrosophic Metric space  $\Xi$  is non - decreasing,  $\Theta$  is a non - increasing,  $\Upsilon$  is decreasing for all  $\zeta, \eta \in \Sigma$ .

**Definition 3.5** Let  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  be neutrosophic metric space. Then

(a) a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  in  $\Sigma$  is converging to a point  $\zeta \in \Sigma$  if for each  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1; \quad lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0; \quad lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0.$$

- (b) a sequence  $\zeta_n$  in  $\Sigma$  is said to be Cauchy if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $\Xi(\zeta_n, \zeta_m, \lambda) > 1 - \epsilon$ ;  $\Theta(\zeta_n, \zeta_m, \lambda) < \epsilon$ ;  $\Upsilon(\zeta_n, \zeta_m, \lambda) < \epsilon$  for all n, m  $\leq \mathbb{N}$ .
- (c)  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  is said to be complete neutrosophic metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.
- (d)  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  is called compact neutrosophic metric space if every sequence contains convergent sub sequence.

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

### 4. Main Results

**Theorem 4.1** (Neutrosophic Banach Contraction Theorem) Let  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  be a complete neutrosophic metric space. Let  $F : \Sigma \to \Sigma$  be a function satisfying

$$\Xi(F\zeta, F\eta, \lambda) \ge \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda); \quad \Theta(F\zeta, F\eta, \lambda) \le \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda); \quad \Upsilon(F\zeta, F\eta, \lambda) \le \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda)$$
(4.1.1)

for all  $\zeta, \eta \in \Sigma$ . 0 < k < 1. Then  $\digamma$  has unique fixed point.

Proof: Let  $\zeta \in \Sigma$  and  $\{\zeta_n\} = \mathcal{F}^n(a)$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . By Mathematical induction, we obtain

$$\Xi(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+1},\lambda) \ge \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}); \Theta(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+1},\lambda) \le \Theta(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}); \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+1},\lambda) \le \Upsilon(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \dots (4.1.2)$$

for all n > 0 and  $\lambda > 0$ . Thus for any non-negative integer p, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+p},\lambda) &\geq \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_{n+1},\frac{\lambda}{p}) \star \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \star \Xi(\zeta_{n+p-1},\zeta_{n+p},\frac{\lambda}{p}) \\ &\geq \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{pk^n}) \star \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \star \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{pk^{n+p-1}}) \\ \Theta(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+p},\lambda) &\leq \Theta(\zeta,\zeta_{n+1},\frac{\lambda}{p}) \diamond \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \diamond \Theta(\zeta_{n+p-1},\zeta_{n+p},\frac{\lambda}{p}) \\ &\leq \Theta(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{pk^n}) \diamond \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \diamond \Theta(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{pk^{n+p-1}}) \\ \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\zeta_{n+p},\lambda) &\leq \Upsilon(\zeta,\zeta_{n+1},\frac{\lambda}{p}) \diamond \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta,\zeta_1,\frac{\lambda}{pk^{n+p-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.1.2) and the definition of NMS conditions, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Xi(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+p}, \lambda) \ge 1 \star \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \star 1 = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Theta(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+p}, \lambda) \le 0 \diamond \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \diamond 0 = 0$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Upsilon(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+p}, \lambda) \le 0 \diamond \cdots^{(p-times)} \cdots \diamond 0 = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence and it is convergent to a limit, let the limit point is  $\eta$ . Thus, we get

$$\begin{split} \Xi(F\eta,\eta,t) &\geq \Xi(F\eta,F\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \star \Xi(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \\ &\geq \Xi(\eta,\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2k}) \star \Xi(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \to 1 \star 1 = 1. \\ \Theta(F\eta,\eta,\lambda) &\leq \Theta(F\eta,F\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \diamond \Theta(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \\ &\leq \Theta(\eta,\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2k}) \diamond \Theta(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \to 0 \diamond 0 = 0. \\ \Upsilon(F\eta,\eta,\lambda) &\leq \Upsilon(F\eta,F\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2})) \\ &\leq \Upsilon(\eta,\zeta_n,\frac{\lambda}{2k}) \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta_{n+1},\eta,\frac{\lambda}{2}) \to 0 \diamond 0 = 0. \end{split}$$

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

Since we see that

$$\Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = 1 \quad iff \quad \zeta = \eta; \quad \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = 0 \quad iff \quad \zeta = \eta; \quad \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) = 0 \quad iff \quad \zeta = \eta$$

we get  $F\eta = \eta$ , which is the fixed point of Neutrosophic metric space. To show the uniqueness, let us assume that  $F\omega = \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \Sigma$ 

$$\begin{split} 1 &\geq \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Xi(F\eta, F\omega, \lambda) \geq \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Xi(F\eta, F\eta, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \geq \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^2}) \\ &\geq \cdots \geq \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty \\ 0 &\leq \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Theta(F\eta, F\omega F\omega, \lambda) \leq \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Theta(F\eta, F\omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \leq \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^2}) \\ &\leq \cdots \leq \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \\ 0 &\leq \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Upsilon(F\eta, F\omega, \lambda) \leq \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Upsilon(F\eta, F\omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \leq \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^2}) \\ &\leq \cdots \leq \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

From the definition of NMS, We get  $\eta = \omega$ . Therefor,  $\digamma$  has a unique fixed point. Lemma 4.2 (a) If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n = \eta$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \,\Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) \\ &\Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \,\Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) \\ &\Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \,\Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) \end{split}$$

(b) If  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta_n = \zeta$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta_n = \eta$ , then

$$\Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) \ge \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda)$$
$$\Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda)$$
$$\Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda)$$

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < \lambda$ .

Proof for (a): By the definition of NMS, conditions (v), (x) and (xv)

$$\begin{split} \Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\geq \Xi(\zeta_n,\zeta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \star \Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \star \Xi(\eta,\eta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ lim_{n\to\infty} inf \ \Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\geq 1 \star \Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \star 1 \\ Hence, \quad lim_{n\to\infty} inf \ \Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\geq \Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \\ \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\leq \Theta(\zeta_n,\zeta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \diamond \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \diamond \Theta(\eta,\eta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ lim_{n\to\infty} sup \ \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\leq 0 \diamond \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \diamond 0 \\ Hence, \quad lim_{n\to\infty} sup \ \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\leq \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \\ \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\leq \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\zeta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \diamond \Upsilon(\eta,\eta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ lim_{n\to\infty} sup \ \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda) &\leq 0 \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda-\epsilon) \diamond 0 \\ \end{split}$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \Upsilon(\zeta_n, \eta_n, \lambda) \le \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda - \epsilon)$ 

Proof for (b):By the definition of NMS, conditions (v),(x) and (xv)

$$\begin{split} \Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\geq \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \star \Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \star \Xi(\eta_n,\eta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ Hence, \quad \Xi(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\geq lim_{n\to\infty}sup\Xi(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \\ \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\leq \Xi(\zeta,\zeta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \diamond \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \diamond \Theta(\eta_n,\eta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ Hence, \quad \Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\leq lim_{n\to\infty}inf\Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \\ \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\leq \Upsilon(\zeta,\zeta_n,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \diamond \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \diamond \Upsilon(\eta_n,\eta,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \\ Hence, \quad \Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda+\epsilon) &\leq lim_{n\to\infty}inf\Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\epsilon) \\ \end{split}$$

**Corollary 4.3** If  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta_n = a$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta_n = \eta$ , then

(a)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \Xi(\zeta_n, \eta_n, \lambda);$   $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \Theta(\zeta_n, \eta_n, \lambda);$   $\Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \Upsilon(\zeta_n, \eta_n, \lambda)....(4.3.1)$ (b)  $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \Xi(\zeta_n, \eta_n, \lambda)$ 

$$\Theta(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \Theta(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda)$$
  

$$\Upsilon(\zeta,\eta,\lambda) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \Upsilon(\zeta_n,\eta_n,\lambda)....(4.3.2)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < \lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.4** (Neutrosophic Edelstein Contraction Theorem) Let  $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, \Upsilon, \star, \diamond)$  be compact neutrosophic metric space. Let  $F : \Sigma \to \Sigma$  be a function satisfying

 $\Xi(F\zeta, F\eta, .) > \Xi(\zeta, \eta, .); \Theta(F\zeta, F\eta, .) < \Theta(\zeta, \eta, .); \Upsilon(F\zeta, F\eta, .) < \Upsilon(\zeta, \eta, .)....(4.4.1)$ 

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

Then  $\digamma$  has fixed point.

Proof: Let  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $a_n = F^n \zeta$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Assume  $\zeta_n \neq \zeta_{n+1}$  for each n (If not  $F \zeta_n = \zeta_n$ ) consequently  $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$   $(n \neq m)$ , For otherwise we get

$$\Xi(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.) = \Xi(\zeta_{m},\zeta_{m+1},.) > \Xi(\zeta_{m-1},\zeta_{m},.) > \cdots > \Xi(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.)$$
  

$$\Theta(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.) = \Theta(\zeta_{m},\zeta_{m+1},.) < \Theta(\zeta_{m-1},\zeta_{m},.) < \cdots < \Theta(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.)$$
  

$$\Upsilon(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.) = \Upsilon(\zeta_{m},\zeta_{m+1},.) < \Upsilon(\zeta_{m-1},\zeta_{m},.) < \cdots < \Upsilon(\zeta_{n},\zeta_{n+1},.)$$

where m > n, which is a contradiction. Since  $\Sigma$  is compact set,  $\{\zeta_n\}$  has convergent sub sequence  $\{\zeta_{n_i}\}$ . Let  $\eta = \lim_{i \to \infty} \zeta_{n_i}$ , Also we assume that  $\eta$  such that  $F \eta \in \{\zeta_{n_i}; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . According to the above assumption, we may now write,

$$\Xi(F\zeta_{n_i},F\eta,.) > \Xi(\zeta_{n_i},\eta,.); \quad \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i},F\eta,.) < \Theta(\zeta_{n_i},\eta,.); \quad \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_i},F\eta,.) < \Upsilon(\zeta_{n_i},\eta,.)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by equation (4.3.1) we obtain

$$\lim \inf \Xi(F\zeta_{n_i}, F\eta, \lambda) \ge \lim \Xi(\zeta_{n_i}, \eta, \lambda) = \Xi(\eta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$$
$$\lim \sup \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i}, F\eta, \lambda) \le \lim \Theta(\zeta_{n_i}, \eta, \lambda) = \Theta(\eta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$$
$$\lim \sup \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_i}, F\eta, \lambda) \le \lim \Upsilon(\zeta_{n_i}, \eta, \lambda) = \Upsilon(\eta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence

$$\lim F\zeta_{n_i} = F\eta....(4.4.2)$$

Simillarly

$$\lim F^2 \zeta_{n_i} = \lim F^2 \eta \dots (4.4.3)$$

(we recall that  $\lim F\zeta_{n_i} = F\eta$  for all  $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ ), Now observe that,

$$\begin{split} \Xi(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) &\leq \Xi(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \leq \cdots \leq \Xi(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \Xi(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \leq \cdots \leq \Xi(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \Xi(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \leq \cdots \leq 1. \\ \Theta(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) &\geq \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \geq \cdots \geq \Theta(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\geq \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \geq \cdots \geq \Theta(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \\ &\geq \Theta(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \geq \cdots \geq 0. \\ \Upsilon(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) &\geq \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \geq \cdots \geq \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \\ &\geq \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, F^2\zeta_{n_{i+1}}, \lambda) \geq \cdots \geq \Omega. \end{split}$$

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Thus  $\{\Xi(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda)\}, \{\Theta(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda)\}, \{\Upsilon(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda)\}$  and  $\{(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda)\}$  $(\lambda > 0)$  are convergent to a common limit point. So by equations (4.3.1), (4.3.2) and (4.4.1) and we get,

$$\begin{split} \Xi(\eta, F\eta, \lambda) &\geq \lim \sup \Xi(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) = \lim \sup (F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\geq \lim \inf \Xi(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\geq \Xi(F\eta, F^2\eta, \lambda) \\ \Theta(\eta, F\eta, \lambda) &\leq \lim \inf \Theta(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) = \lim \inf \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \lim \sup \Theta(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \Theta(F\eta, F^2\eta, \lambda) \\ \Upsilon(\eta, F\eta, \lambda) &\leq \liminf \Upsilon(\zeta_{n_i}, F\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) = \lim \inf \Upsilon(F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \lim \sup \Upsilon(F\zeta F\zeta_{n_i}, F^2\zeta_{n_i}, \lambda) \\ &\leq \Upsilon(F\eta, F^2\eta, \lambda) \end{split}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Suppose  $b \neq F \eta$ , By equation (4.4.1)

$$\Xi(\eta, F\eta, .) < \Xi(F\eta, F^2\eta, .); \quad \Theta(\eta, F\eta, .) > \theta(F\eta, F^2\eta, .); \quad \Upsilon(\eta, F\eta, .) > \Upsilon(F\eta, F^2\eta, .).$$

which is a contradiction , because all the above functions are left continuous , non -decreasing and right continuous , non - increasing respectively. Hence  $\eta = F \eta$  is a fixed point. To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, let us consider  $F(\zeta) = \omega$  for some  $\zeta \in \Sigma$ . Then

$$1 \ge \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Xi(F\eta, F\omega, \lambda) \ge \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Xi(F\eta, F\omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \ge \dots \ge \Xi(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n})$$
$$0 \le \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Theta(F\eta, F\omega, \lambda) \le \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Theta(F\eta, F\omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \le \dots \le \Theta(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n})$$
$$0 \le \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = \Upsilon(F\eta, F\omega, \lambda) \le \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) = \Upsilon(F\omega, F\omega, \frac{\lambda}{k}) \le \dots \le \Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \frac{\lambda}{k^n})$$

Now , we easily verify that  $\{\frac{\lambda}{k^n}\}$  is an s - increasing sequence, then by assumption for a given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\Xi(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \ge 1-\epsilon; \quad \Theta(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \le \epsilon; \quad \Upsilon(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n}) \le \epsilon.$$

Clearly

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Xi(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n})=1;\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\Theta(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n})=0;\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\Upsilon(\zeta,\omega,\frac{\lambda}{k^n})=0.$$

Hence  $\Xi(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = 1$ ;  $\Theta(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = 0$ ;  $\Upsilon(\zeta, \omega, \lambda) = 0$ . Thus  $\eta = \omega$ . Hence proved.

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

**Conclusion:** In this study, we have investigated the concept of Neutrosophic Metric Space and its properties. We have proved fixed point results for contraction theorems in the setting of neutrosophic metric Space. There is a scope to establish many fixed point results in the areas such as fuzzy metric, generalized fuzzy metric, bipolar and partial fuzzy metric spaces by using the concept of Neutrosophic Set.

### References

- [1] Atanassov K, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets.Fuzzy Sets Syst 1986; Vol 20, pp 87-96.
- [2] Alaca, C., Turkoglu D., Yildiz C. Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos Solitons and Fractals 2006, Vol 29,pp 1073–1078.
- [3] Edelstein M. On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. J London Math Soc 1962; Vol 37, pp 74–9.
- [4] George A, Veeramani P. On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst 1994; Vol 64, pp 395–399.
- [5] George A, Veeramani P. On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst 1997, Vol 90, pp 365–368.
- [6] Grabiec M. Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst 1988;Vol 27, pp3 85–399.
- [7] Heilpern, S. Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems, J Math Anal Appl, 1981, Vol 83, pp 566–569.
- [8] Kaleva O, Seikkala S. On fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst 1984; Vol 12, pp 225–229.
- [9] Kramosil O, Michalek J. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. Kybernetika 1975, Vol 11, pp 326–334.
- [10] Kirisci M, Simsek N. Neutrosophic metric spaces. arXiv:1907.00798.
- [11] Kirisci M., Simsek N., Akyigit M. Fixed point results for a new metric space. mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences(to appear).
- [12] Menger, K.M. Statistical metrics, Proc Nat Acad Sci,1942, Vol 28, pp 535–537.
- [13] Mohamad, A. Fixed-point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos Solitons and Fractals, 2004, Vol 34, pp 1689–1695.
- [14] Park JH. Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 2004, Vol 22, pp 1039–1046.
- [15] Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic; American Research Press: Reheboth, MA, USA, 1998.
- [16] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic; ProQuest Information and Learning: Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 1998; pp. 105
- [17] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Inter J Pure Appl Math. Vol 24, pp 287–297, 2005.
- [18] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic Fixed point theorems in Cone metric spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020, Vol 31, pp 250-265,2020
- [19] Simsek N, Kirişci M. Fixed Point Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.Sigma J Eng and Nat Sci. 2019, Vol 10(2),pp221-230.
- [20] Turkoglu D., Alaca C., Cho Y.J., Yildiz C. Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, J Appl Math Comput,2006, Vol 22, pp 411–424.
- [21] Zadeh LA. Fuzy sets. Inform Control 1965, Vol 8, pp 338–353.
- [22] Abdel-Basset, M., Gamal, A., Son, L. H., and Smarandache, F. (2020). A Bipolar Neutrosophic Multi Criteria Decision Making Framework for Professional Selection. Applied Sciences, Volume 10, issue 4, 1202.
- [23] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Sallam, K., and Elhoseny, M. (2020). A novel decision-making model for sustainable supply chain finance under uncertainty environment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 122324.

S Sowndrarajan, M Jeyaraman, Florentin Smarandache; Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces.

- [24] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Zaied, A. E. N. H., Gamal, A., and Smarandache, F. (2020). Solving the supply chain problem using the best-worst method based on a novel Plithogenic model. In Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets Academic Press, pp 1-19, 2020.
- [25] Abdel-Basset, Mohamed, et al. "An integrated plithogenic MCDM approach for financial performance evaluation of manufacturing industries." Risk Management pp 1-27, 2020.
- [26] V. Christianto, F. Smarandache, M. Aslam, How we can extend the standard deviation notion with neutrosophic interval and quadruple neutrosophic numbers, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp 72-76, 2020.
- [27] E.O. Adeleke, A.A.A. Agboola, F. Smarandache, Refined Neutrosophic Rings I, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp 77-81, 2020
- [28] M. Parimala , M. Karthika , Florentin Smarandache , Said Broumi, On -closed sets and its connectedness in terms of neutrosophic topological spaces, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Volume 2 , Issue 2, pp 82-88 , 2020
- [29] E.O. Adeleke, A.A.A. Agboola, F. Smarandache, Refined Neutrosophic Rings II, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp 89-94, 2020
- [30] M.A. Ibrahim, A.A.A. Agboola, E.O. Adeleke, S.A. Akinleye, Introduction to Neutrosophic Subtraction Algebra and Neutrosophic Subtraction Semigroup, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp 47-62, 2020.
- [31] Memet Şahin, Abdullah Kargın and Murat Yücel, Neutrosophic Triplet Partial g Metric Space, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Volume 33, pp 116-134, 2020
- [32] Wadei F. Al-Omeri, Saeid Jafari and Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophic Fixed Point Theorems and Cone Metric Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Volume 31, pp 250-264, 2020.
- [33] Memet Şahin, Abdullah Kargın and Merve Sena Uz, Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Volume 33, pp 298-314, 2020.
- [34] Abhijit Saha, Florentin Smarandache, Jhulaneswar Baidya and Debjit Dutta, MADM Using m-Generalized q-Neutrosophic Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Volume 35, pp 251-268, 2020.
- [35] M. Jeyaraman, S Sowndrarajan, Some fixed point theorems for contractive maps in Intuitionistic fuzzy partial metric spaces, Journal of Applied Science and Computations, Volume 5(11), pp 1289-1300, 2018.
- [36] S Sowndrarajan, M. Jeyaraman, Common fixed point theorems in partial fuzzy metric spaces using contractive condition, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, Volume 3, pp 651-660, 2019.
- [37] Madad Khan, Muhammad Zeeshan, Saima Anis, Abdul Sami Awan and Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophic Soft Fixed Points, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Volume 35, pp 531-546, 2020.

Received: April 10, 2020 / Accepted: September 30, 2020