



Improved Method of Interval valued neutrosophic matrix composition and Its Application in Medical Diagnosis

S. Sathiya¹, and A. Puspaltha²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Udumalpet-642 126, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: sathiyasanthg6@gmail.com

²Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts and Science College, Pollachi-642 002, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: velu_pushpa@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. Neutrosophic sets are an important branch of topology that addresses issues with inconsistent, indeterminate, and uncertain situations in everyday life. Interval valued neutrosophic sets, known as subclasses of neutrosophic sets, have been specifically designed to address problems with a set of numbers in the real unit of interval rather than just a single number. Interval valued neutrosophic (IVN) matrix plays an influential role in decision making problems with indeterminate and inconsistent information. The objective of the paper is to discuss the concepts and operations of IVN matrix theory and propose a newly concept of an improved method of IVN matrix composition and apply it in the field of medical diagnosis. Finally, a decision-making algorithm based on IVN matrix composition has been proposed to solve problems with disease diagnosis from the manifestation of different symptoms in individuals and successfully applied in the field of medical diagnosis.

Keywords: Interval valued neutrosophic matrix, Interval valued neutrosophic matrix complement, improved method of Interval valued neutrosophic matrix composition.

1. Introduction

A common problem in various fields, including business, finance, engineering, health care and social sciences is uncertainty. Fuzzy sets[23], intuitionistic fuzzy sets[2] , neutrosophic sets[19], vague soft sets, Interval valued neutrosophic sets [22]are some approaches that can be used as mathematical tools to prevent concerns when handling ambiguous data. To make computations in operations on fuzzy sets easier Fuzzy matrix theory [21] was first introduced by Thomason, who described the convergence of powers of fuzzy matrix.

In 1999, Smarandache introduced a new theory so called the neutrosophic set [19] with three independent membership functions (truth (T), indeterminacy (I), and falsity (F)) to deal with indeterminate and inconsistent data that each range from zero and one. Neutrosophic set is a general framework that encompasses various concepts such as classical, fuzzy, interval valued, and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In the year 2014, the neutrosophic matrix[8], which is a representation of the neutrosophic set, was firstly given by Kandasamy and Smarandache, and they also discussed the

properties of square neutrosophic matrices and super neutrosophic matrices, quasi neutrosophic matrices. In 2004, The subclass of neutrosophic sets, the Interval valued neutrosophic sets[22] introduced and set theoretic operators and various properties of operators of Interval valued neutrosophic sets discussed by Wang, Praveen Madiraju, Yanqing Zhang, Rajshkhar Sunderraman. The relationship between the interval neutrosophic set and other sets is classical set \subseteq Fuzzy set \subseteq Intuitionistic fuzzy set \subseteq Interval valued Intuitionistic fuzzy set \subseteq Interval valued neutrosophic set. Therefore, interval valued neutrosophic sets represent the generalization of other sets. Using these concepts varies authors applied these concepts in decision making problems [1,4,6,9,11,21].

In 2021, Interval valued neutrosophic matrix [8] was first introduced by Faruk Karaaslan, Khizar Hayat, and Chiranjibe Jana. Also, the determinant and adjoint of the interval valued neutrosophic matrix defined and various properties related to the adjoint operator discussed. Based on [20] on improved method of interval valued neutrosophic composition method is proposed and applied in the field of medical diagnosis.

The article is organized as follows section 2 presents basic definitions, algebraic operations of interval valued neutrosophic matrix and section 3 presents the improved method of interval valued neutrosophic matrix composition in decision making problem with real time application. Section 4 gives conclusion of this research paper.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: Any matrix \hat{A} in $M_{m \times n}$ is called an interval valued neutrosophic matrix (IVN Matrix in short) it can be written in the form $M_{m \times n}(J) = \{ \langle [T_{ij}^L, T_{ij}^U], [I_{ij}^L, I_{ij}^U], [F_{ij}^L, F_{ij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n} : \langle [T_{ij}^L, T_{ij}^U], [I_{ij}^L, I_{ij}^U], [F_{ij}^L, F_{ij}^U] \rangle \in N(J) \}$ where $J = I^2 \times I^2 \times I^2$.

Definition 2.2: Consider IVN Matrices $\hat{A} = \langle [T_{Aij}^L, T_{Aij}^U], [I_{Aij}^L, I_{Aij}^U], [F_{Aij}^L, F_{Aij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$
 $\hat{B} = \langle [T_{Bij}^L, T_{Bij}^U], [I_{Bij}^L, I_{Bij}^U], [F_{Bij}^L, F_{Bij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$ and $\hat{C} = \langle [T_{Cij}^L, T_{Cij}^U], [I_{Cij}^L, I_{Cij}^U], [F_{Cij}^L, F_{Cij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$.

Then, addition between two IVN matrices \hat{B} and \hat{C} is denoted by $\hat{B} + \hat{C}$, whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are related to $\hat{B} + \hat{C}$ is defined as

$$\hat{B} + \hat{C} = \langle [T_{Bij}^L + T_{Cij}^L, T_{Bij}^U + T_{Cij}^U], [I_{Bij}^L + I_{Cij}^L, I_{Bij}^U + I_{Cij}^U], [F_{Bij}^L + F_{Cij}^L, F_{Bij}^U + F_{Cij}^U] \rangle$$

Where

$$T_{Bij}^L + T_{Cij}^L = T_{Bij}^L \vee T_{Cij}^L, \quad T_{Bij}^U + T_{Cij}^U = T_{Bij}^U \vee T_{Cij}^U$$

$$I_{Bij}^L + I_{Cij}^L = I_{Bij}^L \wedge I_{Cij}^L, \quad I_{Bij}^U + I_{Cij}^U = I_{Bij}^U \wedge I_{Cij}^U$$

$$F_{Bij}^L + F_{Cij}^L = F_{Bij}^L \wedge F_{Cij}^L, \quad F_{Bij}^U + F_{Cij}^U = F_{Bij}^U \wedge F_{Cij}^U.$$

Example: 2.3

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.8], [0.3,0.5], [0.4,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.4,0.5], [0.1,0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.6], [0.1,0.3], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.4], [0.5,0.9], [0.1,0.5] \rangle \\ \langle [0.3,0.6], [0.3,0.5], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.1,0.3], [0.3,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.4] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.3], [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.3,0.6], [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.3,0.6], [0.3,0.6], [0.3,0.6] \rangle \\ \langle [0.1,0.7], [0.3,0.5], [0.7,0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.4], [0.1,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.4] \rangle \\ \langle [0.4,0.6], [0.3,0.5], [0.6,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.5], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.4], [0.2,0.3] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{A} + \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.8], [0.3,0.5], [0.4,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.6], [0.1,0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.6], [0.1,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.1,0.4] \rangle \\ \langle [0.4,0.6], [0.3,0.5], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.2,0.3] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 2.4: For any two IVN matrices \hat{A} and \hat{B} , the product operations between IVN matrices \hat{A} and \hat{B} denoted by $\hat{A}\hat{B}$, whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are related to $\hat{A}\hat{B}$ is defined as

$$\hat{A}\hat{B} = \langle [T_{ABij}^L, T_{ABij}^U], [I_{ABij}^L, I_{ABij}^U], [F_{ABij}^L, F_{ABij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n} \quad \text{Where}$$

$$T_{ABij}^L = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (T_{Aik}^L \wedge T_{Bkj}^L), \quad T_{ABij}^U = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (T_{Aik}^U \wedge T_{Bkj}^U)$$

$$I_{ABij}^L = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (I_{Aik}^L \vee I_{Bkj}^L), \quad I_{ABij}^U = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (I_{Aik}^U \vee I_{Bkj}^U)$$

$$F_{ABij}^L = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (F_{Aik}^L \vee F_{Bkj}^L), \quad F_{ABij}^U = \bigvee_{k=1}^m (F_{Aik}^U \vee F_{Bkj}^U)$$

Example: 2.5 For the above example 3.2, the IVN Matrix $\hat{A}\hat{B}$ is given by

$$\hat{A}\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.8], [0.3,0.5], [0.4,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.6], [0.1,0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.6], [0.1,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.1,0.4] \rangle \\ \langle [0.4,0.6], [0.3,0.5], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.2,0.3] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 2.6: For any two IVN matrices \hat{A} , the transpose of the IVN matrix \hat{A} is denoted \hat{A}^t , whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are related to $\hat{B} + \hat{C}$ is defined as $\hat{A}^t = \langle [T_{Aji}^L, T_{Aji}^U], [I_{Aji}^L, I_{Aji}^U], [F_{Aji}^L, F_{Aji}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$.

Example: 2.7: For the above example 3.2, the IVN Matrix \hat{A}^t is given by

$$\hat{A}^t = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.8], [0.3,0.5], [0.4,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.6], [0.1,0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.6], [0.1,0.3], [0.3,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.1,0.4] \rangle \\ \langle [0.4,0.6], [0.3,0.5], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.2,0.3] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 2.8: For any IVN matrix \hat{A} , the power of the IVN matrix \hat{A} , whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are related to \hat{A} are defined as

$$\hat{A}^k = \langle [T_{Aij}^{L(k)}, T_{Aij}^{U(k)}], [I_{Aij}^{L(k)}, I_{Aij}^{U(k)}], [F_{Aij}^{L(k)}, F_{Aij}^{U(k)}] \rangle.$$

Definition 2.9: IVN-unit matrix is defined as $\hat{A}^0 = I = \langle [T_{Iij}^L, T_{Iij}^U], [I_{Iij}^L, I_{Iij}^U], [F_{Iij}^L, F_{Iij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$

where

$$\langle [T_{Iij}^L, T_{Iij}^U], [I_{Iij}^L, I_{Iij}^U], [F_{Iij}^L, F_{Iij}^U] \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle [1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0] \rangle, & i = j \\ \langle [0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1] \rangle, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.10: For any two IVN matrices \hat{A} and \hat{B} , the IVN matrix \hat{B} is said to smaller than the IVN-matrix \hat{A} denoted by $\hat{A} \leq \hat{B}$ and whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are defined as $\langle [T_{Aij}^L, T_{Aij}^U], [I_{Aij}^L, I_{Aij}^U], [F_{Aij}^L, F_{Aij}^U] \rangle \leq \langle [T_{Bij}^L, T_{Bij}^U], [I_{Bij}^L, I_{Bij}^U], [F_{Bij}^L, F_{Bij}^U] \rangle$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

4. Some operations in IVN matrices:

Definition 3.1: Let $\hat{A} = \langle [T_{Aij}^L, T_{Aij}^U], [I_{Aij}^L, I_{Aij}^U], [F_{Aij}^L, F_{Aij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$

$$\hat{B} = \langle [T_{Bij}^L, T_{Bij}^U], [I_{Bij}^L, I_{Bij}^U], [F_{Bij}^L, F_{Bij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n} \quad \text{and}$$

$\hat{C} = \langle [T_{Cij}^L, T_{Cij}^U], [I_{Cij}^L, I_{Cij}^U], [F_{Cij}^L, F_{Cij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$ then IVN complement of a matrix \hat{A} denoted by \hat{A}^c whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are defined as $\hat{A}^c = \langle [F_{Aij}^L, F_{Aij}^U], [1 - I_{Aij}^U, 1 - I_{Aij}^L], [T_{Aij}^L, T_{Aij}^U] \rangle_{m \times n}$.

Example 3.2: Let \hat{A} be a IVN Matrix defined by

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.2,0.7], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.3], [0.4,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.4,0.5], [0.1,0.2] \rangle \\ \langle [0.1,0.6], [0.2,0.3], [0.4,0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.1,0.2], [0.5,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.4], [0.5,0.9], [0.1,0.5] \rangle \\ \langle [0.3,0.9], [0.2,0.6], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.2,0.6], [0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.3,0.4] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the Complement of IVN Matrix \hat{A} is

$$\hat{A}^c = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.3,0.4], [0.8,0.9], [0.2,0.7] \rangle & \langle [0.4,0.5], [0.7,0.8], [0.3,0.7] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.2], [0.5,0.6], [0.2,0.6] \rangle \\ \langle [0.4,0.5], [0.7,0.8], [0.1,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.5,0.6], [0.8,0.9], [0.5,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.1,0.5], [0.1,0.5], [0.1,0.4] \rangle \\ \langle [0.5,0.9], [0.4,0.8], [0.3,0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.3,0.4], [0.8,0.9], [0.2,0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.3,0.4], [0.7,0.8], [0.5,0.9] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 3.2: The difference of two IVN matrix \hat{A} and \hat{B} denoted by $\hat{C} = \hat{A} - \hat{B}$ whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and false membership functions are defined as

$$\hat{C} = [\langle [T_{C_{ij}}^L, T_{C_{ij}}^U], [I_{C_{ij}}^L, I_{C_{ij}}^U], [F_{C_{ij}}^L, F_{C_{ij}}^U] \rangle]_{m \times n} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{C_{ij}}^L &= \wedge [T_{A_{ij}}^L, T_{B_{ij}}^L], \quad T_{C_{ij}}^U = \wedge [T_{A_{ij}}^U, T_{B_{ij}}^U] \\ I_{C_{ij}}^L &= \vee [I_{A_{ij}}^L, 1 - I_{B_{ij}}^U], \quad T_{C_{ij}}^U = \vee [I_{A_{ij}}^U, 1 - I_{B_{ij}}^L] \\ F_{C_{ij}}^U &= \vee [F_{A_{ij}}^L, F_{B_{ij}}^L], \quad T_{C_{ij}}^U = \vee [F_{A_{ij}}^U, F_{B_{ij}}^U] \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4: Consider the IVN Matrices \hat{A} and \hat{B}

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.45,0.80], [0.15,0.35], [0.1,0.20] \rangle & \langle [0.45,0.80], [0.15,0.30], [0.20,0.30] \rangle & \langle [0.55,0.85], [0.20,0.30], [0.15,0.25] \rangle \\ \langle [0.50,0.80], [0.2,0.40], [0.25,0.35] \rangle & \langle [0.50,0.80], [0.20,0.35], [0.25,0.45] \rangle & \langle [0.60,0.85], [0.25,0.35], [0.20,0.30] \rangle \\ \langle [0.60,0.80], [0.15,0.30], [0.15,0.30] \rangle & \langle [0.55,0.65], [0.10,0.25], [0.20,0.35] \rangle & \langle [0.60,0.75], [0.15,0.25], [0.15,0.25] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

6 exam level matrix B are given exam level matrix B

$$\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.25,0.35], [0.50,0.60], [0.35,0.65] \rangle & \langle [0.30,0.45], [0.55,0.65], [0.35,0.55] \rangle & \langle [0.30,0.40], [0.60,0.70], [0.40,0.65] \rangle \\ \langle [0.30,0.40], [0.50,0.60], [0.40,0.75] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.50], [0.55,0.65], [0.40,0.60] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.45], [0.60,0.70], [0.50,0.70] \rangle \\ \langle [0.20,0.35], [0.60,0.70], [0.35,0.70] \rangle & \langle [0.25,0.45], [0.65,0.75], [0.35,0.70] \rangle & \langle [0.20,0.40], [0.70,0.80], [0.45,0.75] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the difference $\hat{C} = \hat{A} - \hat{B}$ is given by

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.25,0.35], [0.40,0.50], [0.35,0.65] \rangle & \langle [0.30,0.45], [0.35,0.65], [0.35,0.55] \rangle & \langle [0.30,0.40], [0.30,0.40], [0.40,0.65] \rangle \\ \langle [0.30,0.40], [0.40,0.50], [0.40,0.75] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.50], [0.35,0.45], [0.40,0.60] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.45], [0.40,0.30], [0.50,0.70] \rangle \\ \langle [0.20,0.35], [0.30,0.40], [0.35,0.70] \rangle & \langle [0.25,0.45], [0.25,0.35], [0.35,0.70] \rangle & \langle [0.20,0.40], [0.20,0.30], [0.45,0.75] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 3.5: Improved method of IVN matrix composition denoted by $A @ B$ and defined as

$$A @ B = \begin{bmatrix} \bigvee_{k=1}^m \frac{T_{A_{ij}}^L + T_{B_{jk}}^L}{2}, \bigvee_{k=1}^m \frac{T_{A_{ij}}^U + T_{B_{jk}}^U}{2}, \\ \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \frac{I_{A_{ij}}^L + I_{B_{jk}}^L}{2}, \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \frac{I_{A_{ij}}^U + I_{B_{jk}}^U}{2}, \\ \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \frac{F_{A_{ij}}^L + F_{B_{jk}}^L}{2}, \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \frac{F_{A_{ij}}^U + F_{B_{jk}}^U}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Decision making algorithm using improved method of IVN matrix composition:

In this section, we put forward a decision making algorithm using IVN matrix composition.

Algorithm:

Step:1

Input the IVN matrix A (Patient-symptom Matrix) and B (Symptom –disease Matrix) of order $m \times n$.

Step:2

Write the complement of each of IVN Matrix A^c and B^c .

Step:3

Compute the IVN composition (Patient-symptom disease Matrix) matrix $C = A@B$ and (Patient-symptom non disease Matrix) $D = A^c@B^c$.

Step:4

compute score matrix

$$S(C, D) = \frac{C+D}{2} = \left\langle \left[\frac{T_{B_{ij}}^L + T_{C_{ij}}^L}{2}, \frac{T_{B_{ij}}^U + T_{C_{ij}}^U}{2} \right] \left[\frac{I_{B_{ij}}^L + I_{C_{ij}}^L}{2}, \frac{I_{B_{ij}}^U + I_{C_{ij}}^U}{2} \right] \left[\frac{F_{B_{ij}}^L + F_{C_{ij}}^L}{2}, \frac{F_{B_{ij}}^U + F_{C_{ij}}^U}{2} \right] \right\rangle.$$

Convert Interval valued neutrosophic value into crisp value by using $\frac{4+T^L+T^U-I^L-I^U-F^L-F^U}{6}$ -----(i).

Step:5

After calculating the patient P_i 's maximum score, it is decided that the patient has the illness D_i .

Example: 3.6: Suppose three patients $P = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ represents persons Arun, Ram, Atul with symptoms $P = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ represents symptoms headache, temperature, body pain. Let the possible disease relate to their symptoms $D = \{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ be viral fever, typhoid, malaria.

Now, consider a collection of an approximate description of patient symptoms in the hospital as follows.

Let Patient-symptom relationship Matrix A is given by

	S_1	S_2	S_3
$A = \begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{matrix}$	$\langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.1, 0.2], [0.5, 0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle$
	$\langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.3] \rangle$	$\langle [0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2, 0.5], [0.5, 0.6], [0.2, 0.5] \rangle$
	$\langle [0.5, 0.8], [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.7, 0.9], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle$

6 exam level matrix B are given exam level matrix B are give Symptom –disease Matrix B is given by

	D_1	D_2	D_3
$B = \begin{matrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \end{matrix}$	$\langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.1, 0.2] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.5, 0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.5, 0.8], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3] \rangle$
	$\langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.4, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.5, 0.7], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.7] \rangle$	$\langle [0.8, 0.9], [0.5, 0.6], [0.3, 0.8] \rangle$
	$\langle [0.5, 0.9], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3, 0.8], [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.4] \rangle$	$\langle [0.8, 0.9], [0.1, 0.2], [0.6, 0.9] \rangle$

The complement of the given IVN matrix A is given by

$$A^c = \left\langle \begin{matrix} \langle [0.1, 0.2], [0.5, 0.6], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle & \langle [0.5, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.5, 0.7], [0.2, 0.6] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2, 0.3], [0.3, 0.7], [0.1, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.1, 0.2], [0.7, 0.9], [0.6, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.5], [0.4, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5] \rangle \\ \langle [0.3, 0.5], [0.4, 0.8], [0.5, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.6], [0.5, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6] \rangle & \langle [0.1, 0.2], [0.7, 0.8], [0.7, 0.9] \rangle \end{matrix} \right\rangle$$

The complement of the given IVN matrix B is given by

$$B^c = \left\langle \begin{matrix} \langle [0.1, 0.2], [0.5, 0.7], [0.1, 0.3] \rangle & \langle [0.5, 0.6], [0.5, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.3], [0.8, 0.9], [0.5, 0.8] \rangle \\ \langle [0.8, 0.9], [0.3, 0.6], [0.1, 0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.8], [0.4, 0.5], [0.8, 0.9] \rangle \\ \langle [0.2, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.5, 0.9] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.8], [0.3, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.6, 0.9], [0.8, 0.9], [0.8, 0.9] \rangle \end{matrix} \right\rangle$$

The IVN Matrix $D = A^c @ B^c$ is Th

$$A^c @ B^c = \left\langle \begin{matrix} \langle [0.35, 0.75], [0.20, 0.45], [0.10, 0.20] \rangle & \langle [0.40, 0.70], [0.10, 0.20], [0.30, 0.40] \rangle & \langle [0.55, 0.80], [0.20, 0.35], [0.15, 0.25] \rangle \\ \langle [0.35, 0.70], [0.25, 0.50], [0.15, 0.25] \rangle & \langle [0.55, 0.75], [0.10, 0.25], [0.15, 0.40] \rangle & \langle [0.70, 0.85], [0.20, 0.40], [0.20, 0.30] \rangle \\ \langle [0.60, 0.90], [0.15, 0.40], [0.15, 0.30] \rangle & \langle [0.50, 0.85], [0.10, 0.35], [0.20, 0.30] \rangle & \langle [0.75, 0.90], [0.15, 0.25], [0.25, 0.40] \rangle \end{matrix} \right\rangle$$

The IVN Matrix $E = A^c @ B^c$ is

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.65,0.75], [0.50,0.65], [0.20,0.55] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.65], [0.50,0.70], [0.20,0.60] \rangle & \langle [0.45,0.80], [0.60,0.70], [0.40,0.75] \rangle \\ \langle [0.45,0.55], [0.40,0.70], [0.10,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.45], [0.40,0.65], [0.10,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.40,0.70], [0.55,0.70], [0.30,0.65] \rangle \\ \langle [0.55,0.75], [0.40,0.75], [0.15,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.40,0.65], [0.45,0.80], [0.30,0.65] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.55], [0.45,0.70], [0.50,0.75] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

FThe The

The score matrix $S(C, D)$ is

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [0.35,0.75], [0.50,0.65], [0.20,0.55] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.65], [0.50,0.70], [0.30,0.60] \rangle & \langle [0.45,0.80], [0.60,0.70], [0.40,0.75] \rangle \\ \langle [0.45,0.55], [0.40,0.70], [0.10,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.45], [0.40,0.65], [0.10,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.40,0.70], [0.55,0.70], [0.30,0.65] \rangle \\ \langle [0.55,0.75], [0.40,0.75], [0.15,0.50] \rangle & \langle [0.40,0.65], [0.45,0.8], [0.30,0.65] \rangle & \langle [0.35,0.55], [0.45,0.7], [0.5,0.75] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Ccccc

The conversion of IVN value into crisp value by using equation (i) gives

$$S(C, D) = \begin{matrix} & D_1 & D_2 & D_3 \\ \begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0.6125} & 0.5917 & 0.6000 \\ 0.6 & 0.6083 & \mathbf{0.6125} \\ \mathbf{0.667} & 0.6042 & 0.5958 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

The above matrix indicates evident that the patients $\{P_1, P_3\}$ are suffering from the disease $\{D_1\}$ and $\{P_2\}$ is from $\{D_3\}$.

Conclusion

In this paper we have defined an improved IVN matrix composition method and successfully applied it in the field of medical diagnosis. Also, Interval valued neutrosophic matrix provides effective solutions to various decision-making issues and it can be applied to multi criteria decision making methods.

References

1. Arockiarani,I. & Sumathi. (2014). A fuzzy neutrosophic soft matrix approach in decision making. *JMRMA*, 2(2), 14-23.
2. Atanasov,K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and system*, 20(1), 87-96.
3. Atanassov K, Gargov G, (1989) Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets System* 31:343-349.
4. Amani Dhar M, Neutrosophic soft matrices and its applications in medical diagnosis (2021)23-32.
5. Banu Pazar varol, vildon celkin and Halis Aygun, (2019) A new view on Neutrosophic matrix, *Journal of hyper structures* 8(1)48-57.
6. Deli, I. & Broumi, S. (2015). Neutrosophic soft matrices and NSM-decision making. *Journal of Intelligent and fuzzy systems*, 28(5), 2233-2241.
7. Dhar,M ., Bromi,S., &Smarandache, F.(2014). A note on square neutrosophic fuzzy matrices. *Neutrosophic sets and systems*.
8. Faruk Karaaslan, Khizar Hayat, and Chiranjibe Jana,(2021) The Determinant and Adjoint of an Interval valued Neutrosophic Matrix, *Neutrosophic operational Research*
9. Jeong yong Ahn, Kyung Soo han, Sun young Oh, Chong Deuk Lee (2011), An Application Of Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets For Medical Diagnosis Of Headache. *International Journal of Innovative computing, Information and control*.7 (5)2755-2762.
10. Kandasamy, W. B.V & Smarandache, F. (2012), Neutrosophic super matrices and quasi super matrices. *Ohio: Zip Publishing*.
11. Kumar S, Biswas R, Roy AR(2001), An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 117:209-213,.
12. Kandasamy W.S.V., Smarandache .F, Ilanthenral .K, (2017) Elementary fuzzy matrix theory and models for social sciences, *text book*.

13. Khan,S.K &Pal,M.(2002)Some operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. In presented in international conference on analysis and discrete structures, 22-24 Dec. Kharagpur, India: Indian Institute of technology.
14. Mousumi Aktar, Md.Nasimul karim, Mr.Sahadat Hossain, An applications of interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy relation prediction scores in Game theory.
15. Park JH, Lim KM, Park JS, Kwun YC, Distances between interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *J Phys Conf Ser* 96, 2008.
16. Pal,M.(2001). Intuitionisitic fuzzy determinant. *V.U.J. Physical Sciences*, 7, 87-93.
17. Pal.M., Khan. S.K, Shyamal,A.K(2002). Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets*, 8(2),51-62.
18. Ragab M.Z., Emam E.G., (1995) on the min-max composition of fuzzy matrices, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 75, 83-92.
19. Smarandache F, A unifying field in logics, neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability set and logic, *American Research press,Rehoboth*,1999.
20. Sangodapo.O, Yuming Feng, (2022) An applications of improved method fuzzy matrix composition in medical diagnosis, *Italian Journal of pure and applied mathematics* 48-2022(1093-1103).
21. Thomoson, M.G(1977) Convergence of powers of a fuzzy matrix. *Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications*, 57,476-480.
22. Wang.H, Smarandache.H, Zhang Y.Q, Sunderraman R., (2005) Interval Neutrosophic sets and logic: Theory and Applications in computing , Hexis
23. Zadeh.L.A, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. And control* 8(1965), 338-353.

Received: June 19, 2024. Accepted: August 12, 2024