



$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^* g\alpha}$ - Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

Suthi Keerthana Kumar^{1*}, Vigneshwaran Mandarasalam², Saied Jafari³ and Vidyarani Lakshmanadas⁴

¹Kongunadu arts and science college, Coimbatore; India; suthikeerthanak@kongunaducollege.ac.in

²Kongunadu arts and science college, Coimbatore; India; vigneshmaths@kongunaducollege.ac.in

³Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark; jafaripersia@gmail.com

⁴Kongunadu arts and science college, Coimbatore; vidyarani; India; ma@kongunaducollege.ac.in

*Correspondence: suthikeerthanak@kongunaducollege.ac.in; (India 641 029)

Abstract. In neutrosophic topological spaces, the notion of neutrosophic $\alpha b^* g\alpha$ -closed sets, neutrosophic $\alpha b^* g\alpha$ -border, and neutrosophic $\alpha b^* g\alpha$ -frontier are described and their properties are discussed. The connection between neutrosophic $\alpha b^* g\alpha$ -frontier and neutrosophic $\alpha b^* g\alpha$ -border are established

Keywords: $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^* g\alpha}$ -closed sets, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^* g\alpha}$ -border, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^* g\alpha}$ -frontier.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophic sets is a generalisation of Atanassov's [4] intuitionistic fuzzy sets and Zadeh's [20] fuzzy sets, and were first proposed by Smarandache [17] [18]. It also takes into account the membership functions for falsehood, indeterminacy, and truth. In a number of disciplines, including probability, algebra, control theory, topology, etc., Smarandache introduced the neutrosophic idea in response to fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy sets' inability to handle indeterminacy-membership functions. Neutrosophic set based notions were later introduced by Alblowi et al. [1]. In the past 20 years, numerous scholars have utilised these potent ideas to put forth numerous topological hypotheses. A novel idea in neutrosophic topological spaces was proposed by Salama and Alblowi [14]. It gives a brief overview of neutrosophic topology, which is a generalisation of Chang's [6] and Coker's [5] intuitionistic fuzzy topology.

In the subject of neutrosophic topological spaces, Salama et al., [11–13] presented the generalisation of neutrosophic sets, neutrosophic crisp sets, and neutrosophic closed sets. Salama et al., [13] proposed a few neutrosophic continuous functions as an initial set of continuous functions in neutrosophic topology. In addition, a number of scholars have defined a number of

closed sets in neutrosophic topology, including generalised neutrosophic closed sets [7] in neutrosophic topological spaces, neutrosophic α -closed sets [3], neutrosophic αg -closed sets [10], and neutrosophic b -closed sets [8]. Neutrosophic $b^*g\alpha$ -closed sets were defined by S. Saranya and M. Vigneshwaran [15]. In order to establish a connection between the operators of neutrosophic interior and neutrosophic closure, Iswarya and Bageerathi [9] introduced a novel notion of neutrosophic frontier operator and neutrosophic semi-frontier operator. $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -closed sets are new closed sets defined in topological spaces by Suthi Keerthana K, Vigneshwaran M, and Vidyarani L [19]. These sets have been used to define various topological functions, such as continuous functions, irresolute functions, and homeomorphic functions with certain separable axioms.

This paper presents the idea of neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -closed sets in neutrosophic topological spaces and examines their characteristics as well as how they relate to other known characters. The concepts in neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -interior, neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -closure, neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -border, and neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -frontier are examined. Neutrosophic topological spaces have a relationship between the neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -border and the neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -frontier, along with associated features.

2. Preliminaries

The basic definitions which are used in the next section are referred from the references [14], [3], [7], [16], [10], [2], [15].

3. $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - Closed Sets

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{N}E$ be a subset of a $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$. Then $\mathcal{N}E$ is called

- a neutrosophic $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ -closed set ($\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}\text{-CS}$) if $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}\text{cl}(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ whenever $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is a neutrosophic $b^*g\alpha$ -open set in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Example 3.2. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{na, nb, nc\}$ and the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}L = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.4, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}M = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.2, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

Then the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}$, $\tau = \{\mathcal{N}0, \mathcal{N}L, \mathcal{N}M, \mathcal{N}1\}$, which are $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

If $\mathcal{N}N = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.8, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.8, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.9, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$, and

$$\mathcal{N}E = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

Then the complements of $\mathcal{N}L$, $\mathcal{N}M$, $\mathcal{N}N$ and $\mathcal{N}E$ are

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{L} = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.4), (t0.7, i0.8, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{M} = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.8, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{N} = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.2, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.2, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.1, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\overline{E} = \{ \langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \}$$

Hence $\mathcal{N}N$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} , $\mathcal{N}\overline{N}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} , $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} , $\mathcal{N}\overline{E}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} of $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(E) = \{ \langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, 0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, 0.7), (t0.5, i0.3, 0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \}$ which is contained in $\mathcal{N}N$. That is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{N}N$.

Remark 3.3. Let $\mathcal{N}A$ be a subset of $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}A)$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Theorem 3.4. In $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, every \mathcal{NCS} is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} in \mathbb{X} .

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{NCS} , $\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. But $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} .

The converse is not true.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{na, nb, nc\}$ and the \mathcal{NS} , $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}L = \{ \langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.4, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

$$\mathcal{N}M = \{ \langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.2, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

Then the \mathcal{NT} , $\tau = \{ \mathcal{N}0, \mathcal{N}L, \mathcal{N}M, \mathcal{N}1 \}$ and the complement of \mathcal{NS} of $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}\overline{L} = \{ \langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.4), (t0.7, i0.8, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\overline{M} = \{ \langle nx, (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.8, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

$$\text{If } \mathcal{N}E = \{ \langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

Then $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} but it is not a \mathcal{NCS} of $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}\overline{M}$ which is not equal to $\mathcal{N}E$.

Theorem 3.6. In $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, every \mathcal{N}_{α} - \mathcal{CS} is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} in \mathbb{X} .

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{N}_{α} - \mathcal{CS} , $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E$. But $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} .

The converse is not true.

Example 3.7. From the example 3.4, the $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} but it is not a \mathcal{N}_{α} - \mathcal{CS} of $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}cl(E))) = \mathcal{N}\overline{M}$ which is not equal to $\mathcal{N}E$.

Theorem 3.8. In $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, every $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} in \mathbb{X} .

\therefore Every $\mathcal{N}_{*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} , \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{OS} .

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha b^*g\alpha}$ - \mathcal{CS} , $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. But $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, which implies

$\mathcal{N}bcI(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -CS.

The converse is not true.

Example 3.9. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{na, nb, nc\}$ and the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}L = \{\langle nx, (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}M = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.2, f0.4) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

Then $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}$, $\tau = \{\mathcal{N}0, \mathcal{N}L, \mathcal{N}M, \mathcal{N}1\}$ and the complement of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{L} = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.8, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{M} = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.4, i0.8, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}N = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

$$\text{If } \mathcal{N}E = \{\langle nx, (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -CS but it is not a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$ which is not contained in $\mathcal{N}N$.

Theorem 3.10. In $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, every $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS is \mathcal{N}_{gs} -CS.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in \mathbb{X} .

\therefore Every $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS, \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS, $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. But $\mathcal{N}_s cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_s cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{N}_{gs} -CS.

The converse is not true.

Example 3.11. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{na, nb, nc\}$ and the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}L = \{\langle nx, (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}M = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.4) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

Then $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}$, $\tau = \{\mathcal{N}0, \mathcal{N}L, \mathcal{N}M, \mathcal{N}1\}$ and the complement of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{L} = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.8, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{M} = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.4, i0.3, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}N = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

$$\text{If } \mathcal{N}E = \{\langle nx, (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

Here $\mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{N}_{gs} -CS but it is not a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$ which is not contained in $\mathcal{N}N$.

Theorem 3.12. In $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, every $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS is \mathcal{N}_{gp} -CS.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in \mathbb{X} .

\therefore Every $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS, \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS, $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. But $\mathcal{N}_p cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_p cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{N}_{gp} -CS.

The converse is not true.

Example 3.13. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{na, nb, nc\}$ and the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}L = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.4, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}M = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.3, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.3, f0.6), (t0.7, i0.2, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

Then the $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}$, $\tau = \{\mathcal{N}0, \mathcal{N}L, \mathcal{N}M, \mathcal{N}1\}$ and the complement of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{N}L$ and $\mathcal{N}M$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{L} = \{\langle nx, (t0.7, i0.7, f0.5), (t0.7, i0.7, f0.4), (t0.7, i0.8, f0.5) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}\bar{M} = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.7, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.8, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}N = \{\langle nx, (t0.4, i0.8, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.5, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.9, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

$$\text{If } \mathcal{N}E = \{\langle nx, (t0.5, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.6, i0.3, f0.7), (t0.5, i0.2, f0.7) \rangle \forall nx \in \mathbb{X}\}$$

Here $\mathcal{N}E$ is \mathcal{N}_{gp} -CS but it is not a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}\bar{M}$ which is not contained in $\mathcal{N}N$.

Theorem 3.14. The union of any two $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) is also a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E$ and $\mathcal{N}F$ be two $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) . Let \mathcal{V} be a $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS in \mathbb{X} s.t $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Then, $\mathcal{N}E \cup \mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ and $\mathcal{N}F$ are $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) , implies $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Now, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E \cup \mathcal{N}F) = \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \cup \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E \cup \mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ whenever $\mathcal{N}E \cup \mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) implies $\mathcal{N}E \cup \mathcal{N}F$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Theorem 3.15. The intersection of any two $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) is also a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}E$ and $\mathcal{N}F$ be two $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) . Let \mathcal{V} be a $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) s.t $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Then, $\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ and $\mathcal{N}F$ are $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) , implies $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Now, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}F) = \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Thus,

$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ whenever $\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) implies $\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}F$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Theorem 3.16. Let $\mathcal{N}E$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -closed subset of (\mathbb{X}, τ) . If $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E)$, then $\mathcal{N}F$ is also a $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -closed subset of (\mathbb{X}, τ) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) . Then $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{N}F$ implies $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Also $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E)$ implies $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E)$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}F) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{N}F$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS.

Theorem 3.17. If a set $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) iff $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}cl(\mathcal{N}E) - \mathcal{N}E$ contains no non-empty $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -CS.

Proof. Necessity: Let $\mathcal{N}F$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -CS in (\mathbb{X}, τ) such that $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) - \mathcal{N}E$. Then $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}E$. This implies $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}F$. Now $\mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}F$ is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS of (\mathbb{X}, τ) such that $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}F$. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS then $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}F$. Thus $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E)$. Now $\mathcal{N}F \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) (\mathbb{X} - \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E)) = \mathcal{N}0$.

Sufficiency: Assume $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) - \mathcal{N}E$ contains no non-empty $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS. Let $\mathcal{N}E \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -OS. Suppose $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \not\subseteq \mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \cap \mathcal{V}^c$ is a non-empty $\mathcal{N}_{b^*g\alpha}$ -CS of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) - \mathcal{N}E$, which is a contradiction.

$\therefore \mathcal{N}_\alpha cl(\mathcal{N}E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -CS.

4. $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -Border

Definition 4.1. For any subset $\mathcal{N}E$ of \mathbb{X} , the neutrosophic $ab^*g\alpha$ -border of $\mathcal{N}E$ is defined by $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}E \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$

Theorem 4.2. In $\mathcal{N}TS(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, for any subset $\mathcal{N}E$ of \mathbb{X} , the following statements are hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\phi)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(X)] = \phi$
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}E = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cup \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]) = \phi$
- (vi) $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open iff $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$
- (vii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E))] = \phi$
- (viii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)])] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (ix) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(X \setminus \mathcal{N}E)$

Proof. Statements (i) to (iv) are obvious by the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -border of $\mathcal{N}E$. If possible, let $nx \in \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)])$.

Then $nx \in \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$, since $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] \subseteq \mathcal{N}E$, $nx \in \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$.

$\therefore nx \in \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$, which is the contradiction to (iii). Hence

(v) is proved. $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open iff $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E$. But $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}E \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$ implies $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$.

This proves (vi) & (vii). When $\mathcal{N}E = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$, then definition of $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -border of $\mathcal{N}E$ becomes $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)])] =$

$\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)])$.

By using (vii), we get the proof of (viii).

Now, $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}E \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) =$

$\mathcal{N}E \cap (\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)) = \mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathcal{N}E)$.

5. $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -Frontier

Definition 5.1. For any subset $\mathcal{N}E$ of \mathbb{X} , the neutrosophic $ab^*g\alpha$ -frontier of $\mathcal{N}E$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$$

Theorem 5.2. In $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, for any subset $\mathcal{N}E$ of \mathbb{X} , the following statements are hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\phi)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(X)] = \phi$
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E)$
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cup \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E)$
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (vi) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -closed iff $\mathcal{N}E = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) \cup \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (vii) $\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)])$
- (viii) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, then $\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$
- (ix) $\mathbb{X} = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E) \cup \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathcal{N}E)$
- (x) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, then $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E))] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (xi) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -closed, then $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{N}E))] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (xii) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open iff then $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E))] \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) = \phi$

Proof. Statements (i) to (vii) are true by the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -frontier of $\mathcal{N}E$. By Remark (3.3), If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, $\mathcal{N}E = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$ and by statement (ii), $\mathcal{N}E \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$. Hence (viii) is proved. (ix) is obvious. Since $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E)$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, then $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E) = \mathcal{N}E$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha} - \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}E))] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$. Similarly, (xi) can be proved. By Remark (3.3) and by statement (ii), (xii) is straight forward.

6. Relationship Between $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -Frontier and $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -Border

Theorem 6.1. In $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \tau)$, for any subset $\mathcal{N}E$ of \mathbb{X} , the following statements are hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] \setminus \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] = \phi$
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)])] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)])] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$
- (v) If $\mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, then $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \cup \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$

$$(vi) \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$$

$$(vii) \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]} \cup \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]} = \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]}$$

$$(viii) \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]} = \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]}$$

Proof. Statement (i) to (iv) are obvious by the definitions of $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -Frontier and $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -border of a set. $\therefore \mathcal{N}E$ is $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -open, then we have a statement from $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -border of a set, $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(E)] = \phi$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \cup \phi = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$. Hence (v) is proved. We know from statement (ii), $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]$ which implies $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)] = \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]$. It gives the proof of (vi). By the above statement,

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]} = \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)] \cap \mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]}, \text{ and by using De Morgan's law,}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]} =$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{N}E)]} \cup \overline{\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}[\mathcal{N}bd(\mathcal{N}E)]}, \text{ it gives the proof of (vii).}$$

Similarly we can prove the statement (viii).

7. Conclusions

The $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -closed set in $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ was defined in this article, and its relationship to other known $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ was examined. We also introduced and investigated the properties of $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -frontier and $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -border of a set. $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -frontier of a set in $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ and found to be connected. A few more functions, including $\mathcal{N}_{ab^*g\alpha}$ -continuous, irresolute functions, can be derived from this set. Furthermore, it can be expanded to include the homeomorphism of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. S. A. Alblowi, A. A. Salama, and Mohamed Eisa. New Concepts of Neutrosophic Sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, 3(4)(2013), 95-102.
2. Al-Omeri W and Jafari S, On Generalized Closed Sets and Generalized Pre Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Mathematics, 7(1), 2018, 112.
3. I. Arokiarani, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari, and M. Parimala, On Some New Notions and Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 16(2017), 16-19.
4. K. Atanassov. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1)(1986), 87-96.
5. D. Coker. An Introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88(1)(1997), 81-89.
6. C. L. Chang. Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 24(1968), 182-190.
7. R. Dhavaseelan, and S. Jafari. Generalized Neutrosophic Closed sets, New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, Vol. II, (2018), 261-273.
8. P. Evanzalin Ebenanjar, H. Jude Immaculate, and C. BazilWilfred. On Neutrosophic b-Open Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Space, Journal of Physics, Conference Series, (2018), 1-5.

9. P. Iswarya, and K. Bageerathi. A Study on Neutrosophic Frontier and Neutrosophic Semi-Frontier in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 16 (2017), 6-15.
10. D. Jayanthi. α Generalized Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, Conference Series*, (2018), 88-91.
11. A. A. Salama, and S. A. Alblowi. Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *Journal of Computer Science and Engineering*, 2(7)(2012), 29-32.
12. A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache, and K.Valeri. Neutrosophic Crisp Sets and Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2(2014), 25-30.
13. A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache, and K.Valeri. Neutrosophic Closed Set and Neutrosophic Continuous Functions, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 4(2014), 4-8.
14. A. A. Salama, and S. A. Alblowi. Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *IOSR-Journal of Mathematics*, 3(4)(2012), 31-35.
15. S. Saranya and M. Vigneshwaran , Neutrosophic $b^*g\alpha$ -closed set, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 24, 2019, 90-99.
16. Shanthi V K, Chandrasekar S, Safina Begam K, Neutrosophic Generalized Semi Closed Sets In Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Research in Advet Technology*, 6(7), 2018, 1739-1943.
17. F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field of Logics. *Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 1998.
18. F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic Set - A Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24(3)(2005), 287-297.
19. K. Suthi Keerthana, M. Vigneshwaran and L. Vidyarani, On $\alpha b^*g\alpha$ - Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, *Journal of Statistics and Mathematical Engineering*, vol. 9(3), 2023, 14-19.
20. L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8(3)(1965), 338-353.

Received: June 28, 2024. Accepted: August 22, 2024