



$\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – Irresolvable and Strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – Irresolvable Spaces in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

Martina Jency J¹, *Babisha Julit R L² and Pious Missier S³

¹Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics,

V. O.Chidambaram College,Thoothukudi-628008, Tamil Nadu, India.; martina.mat@voccollege.ac.in

² Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,

St.Alphonsa College of Arts and Science,Karinkal,Kanyakumari-629157, Tamil Nadu, India.;

babishajulit@stalphonsa.edu.in

³ Head & Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Don Bosco College of Arts and Science,

KeelaEral, Thoothukudi-628 908, Tamil Nadu, India.; spmissier@gmail.com

*Correspondence: babishajulit@stalphonsa.edu.in

Abstract. The notion of breaking down a topological space into smaller, disjoint subsets is the basis for the topological concepts of ‘resolvable space’ and ‘irresolvable space’. By synergizing topology and neutrosophy, we have introduced a new paradigm in neutrosophic topological spaces, represented by $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – resolvable spaces, $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – irresolvable spaces and strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – irresolvable spaces. This pioneering fusion enables the exploration of novel mathematical structures and their characterizations.

Keywords: $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – closed set; $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – dense set; $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – Irresolvable spaces ; Strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ – Irresolvable spaces

1. Introduction

In our day to day life we come across uncertainties while dealing with real life problems in Science, Engineering, Business and Finance Management. Traditional mathematical methods failed to solve uncertainties. In order to overcome this difficulty, Zadeh [?] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965. In 1986, a generalization of fuzzy set was introduced by K.Atanassov [?] as intuitionistic fuzzy sets. The approaches such as fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, vague sets and rough sets can be treated as Mathematical tools to avert obstacles dealing with ambiguous data. But all these approaches have their failures in solving the problems involving indeterminate and inconsistent data due to inadequacy of parametrization.

Neutrality the degree of indeterminacy as an independent concept was introduced by Florentine Smarandache [?]. He defined the generalization of fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a Neutrosophic set on the three components, namely Truth (membership), Indeterminacy, Falsehood (non-membership). Neutrosophic sets found its place into contemporary research since it helps to study indeterminacy in real life problems.

The concept of Neutrosophy has been developed into Neutrosophic topological spaces by Salama and Alblowi [?] in 2012. Additionally, they studied Neutrosophic continuity which has been shown to be quite significant in the realm of Neutrosophic topology.

Salama and Smarandache [?] initiated Neutrosophic closed sets and continuous functions. R. Dhavaseelan et.al. [?] introduced Neutrosophic generalized closed sets. Pious Missier et.al. [?], introduced the concept of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - closed and open sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces.

A topological space X is called resolvable if it can be expressed as the union of two or more disjoint, non-empty open subsets. In other words, there exist open sets A and B (with $A \cap B = \emptyset$) such that $X = A \cup B$. Otherwise, it is irresolvable. Resolvable spaces can be broken into non-overlapping open sets, whereas irresolvable spaces cannot be partitioned in a meaningful way within the given topology. Resolvable spaces and irresolvable spaces help topologists to classify and characterize topological spaces based on their “splitting” properties. (i.e.,) To distinguish between spaces that can be decomposed into disjoint open sets (resolvable) and those that cannot (irresolvable).

In this article we introduce $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - resolvable spaces, and $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable spaces and strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable spaces and analyse their characterizations.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [?] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{NSA}_N is an object having the form $\mathcal{A}_N = \{\langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N\}$. Here

- (1) $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda)$ – degree of membership
- (2) $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda)$ – degree of indeterminacy
- (3) $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda)$ – degree of non-membership

A Neutrosophic set $\mathcal{A}_N = \{\langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N\}$ can be identified as an ordered triple $\langle \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle$ in $] -0, 1+[$ on \mathcal{X}_N .

Definition 2.2. [?] For any two Neutrosophic sets $\mathcal{A}_N = \{\langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_N = \{\langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda) \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N\}$ we have

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{B}_N \iff \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \leq \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda)$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \geq \gamma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda)$
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_N \cap \mathcal{B}_N = \langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \wedge \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda)$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \vee \gamma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda) \rangle$
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_N \cup \mathcal{B}_N = \langle \lambda, \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \vee \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda)$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \wedge \gamma_{\mathcal{B}_N}(\lambda) \rangle$

Definition 2.3. [?] Let $\mathcal{A}_N = \langle \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle$ be a \mathcal{NS} on \mathcal{X}_N , then the complement \mathcal{A}_N^c defined as

- $\mathcal{A}_N^c = \{ \langle \lambda, \gamma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), 1 - \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda), \mu_{\mathcal{A}_N}(\lambda) \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N \}$

Note that for any two Neutrosophic sets \mathcal{A}_N and \mathcal{B}_N ,

- $(\mathcal{A}_N \cup \mathcal{B}_N)^c = \mathcal{A}_N^c \cap \mathcal{B}_N^c$
- $(\mathcal{A}_N \cap \mathcal{B}_N)^c = \mathcal{A}_N^c \cup \mathcal{B}_N^c$.

Definition 2.4. [?] A Neutrosophic topology (\mathcal{NT}) on a non-empty set \mathcal{X}_N is a family τ_N of Neutrosophic subsets in \mathcal{X}_N satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $\mathbf{0}_N, \mathbf{1}_N \in \tau_N$
- (2) $\mathcal{R}_{N_1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{N_2} \in \tau_N$ for any $\mathcal{R}_{N_1}, \mathcal{R}_{N_2} \in \tau_N$
- (3) $\bigcup \mathcal{R}_{N_i} \in \tau_N \quad \forall \mathcal{R}_{N_i} : i \in I \subseteq \tau_N$

Here the empty set $\mathbf{0}_N$ and the whole set $\mathbf{1}_N$ may be defined as follows:

- (1) $\mathbf{0}_N = \{ \langle \lambda, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N \}$
- (2) $\mathbf{1}_N = \{ \langle \lambda, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}_N \}$

Definition 2.5. [?] Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in \mathcal{NTS} \mathcal{X}_N . Then

- (1) $\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{G}_N : \mathcal{G}_N \text{ is a } \mathcal{NOS} \text{ in } \mathcal{X}_N \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_N \subseteq \mathcal{A}_N \}$ is called a Neutrosophic interior of \mathcal{A}_N .
- (2) $\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{K}_N : \mathcal{K}_N \text{ is a } \mathcal{NCS} \text{ in } \mathcal{X}_N \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{K}_N \}$ is called Neutrosophic closure of \mathcal{A}_N .

Definition 2.6. [?] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N of a \mathcal{NTS} (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\alpha gCS$ if $\mathcal{N}\alpha cl(\mathcal{A}_N) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_N$, whenever $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{U}_N$ and \mathcal{U}_N is a \mathcal{NOS} in \mathcal{X}_N . The complement of $\mathcal{N}\alpha gCS$ is $\mathcal{N}\alpha gOS$.

Definition 2.7. [?]

A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N of a \mathcal{NTS} (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called a Neutrosophic $g^\#$ -closed ($\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$) if $\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{A}_N) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_N$ whenever $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_N$ and \mathcal{Q}_N is $\mathcal{N}\alpha gOS$ in \mathcal{X}_N . The complement of $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ is $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS$.

Definition 2.8. [?] Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in \mathcal{NTS} \mathcal{X}_N . Then

- (1) $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{G}_N : \mathcal{G}_N \text{ is a } \mathcal{N}g^\#OS \text{ in } \mathcal{X}_N \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_N \subseteq \mathcal{A}_N \}$ is called a Neutrosophic $g^\#$ -interior of \mathcal{A}_N .
- (2) $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{K}_N : \mathcal{K}_N \text{ is a } \mathcal{N}g^\#CS \text{ in } \mathcal{X}_N \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{K}_N \}$ is called Neutrosophic $g^\#$ -closure of \mathcal{A}_N .

Definition 2.9. [?] A function $f_N : (\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_N, \zeta_N)$ is said to be $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - continuous function if $f_N^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_N)$ is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - closed set of (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) for every neutrosophic closed set \mathcal{V}_N of (\mathcal{Y}_N, ζ_N) .

Definition 2.10. [?] A function $f_N : (\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_N, \zeta_N)$ is said to be Neutrosophic $g^\#$ - irresolute function if $f_N^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_N)$ is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ of (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) for every $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ \mathcal{V}_N of (\mathcal{Y}_N, ζ_N) .

Definition 2.11. [?] A Neutrosophic Topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called a $T_Ng^\#$ - space if every $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is \mathcal{NCS} in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) .

Definition 2.12. [?] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N in a Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic dense set if there exists no \mathcal{NCS} \mathcal{B}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{A}_N \subset \mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathbf{1}_N$.

Definition 2.13. [?] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N in a Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic nowhere dense set if there exists no non- zero \mathcal{NOS} \mathcal{B}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{A}_N)$. That is $\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{0}_N$.

Definition 2.14. [?] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N in a Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense set if there exists no $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ \mathcal{B}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{A}_N \subset \mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathbf{1}_N$. That is $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$.

3. $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - Irresolvable Spaces

Definition 3.1. A Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - resolvable space if there exists a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense set \mathcal{A}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Otherwise (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - Irresolvable Space.

Example

3.2. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets, $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle(0.4, 0.5, 0.4), (0.3, 0.6, 0.4)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle(0.4, 0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.4, 0.3)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle(0.4, 0.4, 0.4), (0.5, 0.5, 0.4)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle(0.4, 0.6, 0.4), (0.4, 0.5, 0.5)\rangle$. Now $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then, $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is \mathcal{NT} on \mathcal{X}_N . Here, $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Now \mathcal{M}_{N_3} is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense set in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) and $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_{N_3}^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Hence, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - resolvable space.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets, $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle(0.4, 0.5, 0.6), (0.4, 0.3, 0.5)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle(0.6, 0.5, 0.4), (0.5, 0.7, 0.4)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle(0.6, 0.5, 0.3), (0.6, 0.7, 0.3)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle(0.3, 0.5, 0.6), (0.3, 0.3, 0.6)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_5} = \langle(0.7, 0.5, 0.4), (0.6, 0.8, 0.4)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_6} = \langle(0.4, 0.5, 0.7), (0.4, 0.2, 0.6)\rangle$. Now, $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathcal{M}_{N_6}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then, $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is \mathcal{NT} on \mathcal{X}_N . Here $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS(\mathcal{X}_N) =$

$\{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Now \mathcal{M}_{N_3} and \mathcal{M}_{N_5} both are $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) but $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_{N_3}^c) = \mathcal{M}_{N_2} \neq \mathbf{1}_N$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_{N_5}^c) = \mathcal{M}_{N_2} \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is not a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space and hence (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Theorem 3.4. A $\mathcal{N}TS$ (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space if and only if (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) has a pair of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2^c$.

Proof. Let (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) be a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space. Suppose that for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{M}_i and \mathcal{M}_j , we have $\mathcal{M}_i \not\subseteq \mathcal{M}_j^c$. Then $\mathcal{M}_i \supset \mathcal{M}_j^c$. Then $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i) \supset \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_j^c) \implies \mathbf{1}_N \supset \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_j^c)$. Then $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_j^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Also $\mathcal{M}_j \supset \mathcal{M}_i^c$, then $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_j) \supset \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i^c) \implies \mathbf{1}_N \supset \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i^c)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Since \mathcal{M}_i is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set and (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i) = \mathbf{1}_N$, but we got, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_i^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ for all Neutrosophic set \mathcal{M}_i in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) which is a contradiction. Hence (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) has a pair of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2^c$.

Conversly, Suppose that the $\mathcal{N}TS$ (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) has a pair of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2^c$. Now Assume that (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. Then for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , we have $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_1^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_2^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Which implies that there exists a $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS$ \mathcal{B}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{M}_2^c \subset \mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathbf{1}_N$. Now $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2^c \subset \mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathbf{1}_N \implies \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathbf{1}_N$. That is \mathcal{M}_1 is not a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set. Which is a contradiction. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space. \square

Theorem 3.5. A Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space if $\mathbf{1}_N = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_i) = \mathbf{0}_N$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{1}_N = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_i) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Which implies that $(\bigcup \mathcal{A}_i)^c = \mathbf{0}_N$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{A}_i^c) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Then there exists atleast two non- zero disjoint Neutrosophic sets \mathcal{A}_i^c and \mathcal{A}_j^c in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Hence $\mathcal{A}_i^c \cup \mathcal{A}_j^c \subseteq \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_i^c \subseteq \mathcal{A}_j$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_i^c) \subseteq \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_j)$. But $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_i) = \mathbf{0}_N$ implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_i^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Hence $\mathbf{1}_N \subseteq \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_j)$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_j) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Also $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_j) = \mathbf{0}_N$ implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_j^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) has a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set \mathcal{A}_j such that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_j^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Hence (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space. \square

Theorem 3.6. A Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space if and only if $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) .

Proof. Since (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space, for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set \mathcal{A}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) , $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Then $(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N))^c \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ which implies $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$.

Conversely, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$, for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Suppose that (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -resolvable space. Then there exists a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set \mathcal{A}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. This implies that $(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N \implies \mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Which is a contradiction. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. \square

4. Strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -Irresolvable Spaces

Definition 4.1. A Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space if for any $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set \mathcal{A}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) , $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{1}_N$.

Example

4.2. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle (0.6, 0.6, 0.3), (0.6, 0.6, 0.3) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle (0.3, 0.4, 0.6), (0.3, 0.4, 0.6) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle (0.3, 0.3, 0.7), (0.3, 0.3, 0.7) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle (0.7, 0.7, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7, 0.3) \rangle$. Now $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then, $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topology on \mathcal{X}_N . Here, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{CS}(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{DS}(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Now $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{M}_{N_1})) = \mathbf{1}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{M}_{N_4})) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Theorem 4.3. If $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N)) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$, for every Neutrosophic set \mathcal{A}_N in a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) , then $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) .

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N)) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Since (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$ implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{1}_N$. This is a contradiction. Then it must be that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . \square

Theorem 4.4. Let (\mathcal{A}_N) be a \mathcal{NS} in a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . If $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$ then \mathcal{A}_N is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -nowhere dense set.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Then, $(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Since (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N^c)) = \mathbf{1}_N \implies \mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N \implies (\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N)))^c = \mathbf{1}_N$. Which implies that, $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Hence proved. \square

Theorem 4.5. If \mathcal{A}_N is a \mathcal{NS} in a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_N)) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ then $\mathcal{N}g^\# \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) .

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N)) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Now, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = (\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = \mathbf{1}_N \text{ (since } (\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) \text{ is strongly } \mathcal{N}g^\# \text{-irresolvable space)} \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N^c)) = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies (\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N)))^c = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{0}_N \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction to the hypothesis. Then it must be that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Hence proved. \square

Theorem 4.6. *If (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space then (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N be a \mathcal{NS} in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) such that $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$. We claim that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$. Then $(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N))^c = \mathbf{1}_N$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c) = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N^c)) = \mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathbf{1}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#int((\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N))^c) = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies (\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N)))^c = \mathbf{1}_N \\ &\implies \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{0}_N \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction to (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) being a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. Hence our assumption that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{0}_N$ is wrong. Hence we must have $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ for all $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense sets \mathcal{A}_N in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. \square

Remark 4.7. The reverse implication of the above theorem is not true that is shown in the following example.

Example 4.8. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle (0.4, 0.5, 0.6), (0.4, 0.3, 0.5) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle (0.6, 0.5, 0.4), (0.5, 0.7, 0.4) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle (0.6, 0.5, 0.3), (0.6, 0.7, 0.3) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle (0.3, 0.5, 0.6), (0.3, 0.3, 0.6) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_5} = \langle (0.7, 0.5, 0.4), (0.6, 0.8, 0.4) \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_6} = \langle (0.4, 0.5, 0.7), (0.4, 0.2, 0.6) \rangle$. Now, $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathcal{M}_{N_6}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then, $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is \mathcal{NT} on \mathcal{X}_N . Here $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS(\mathcal{X}_N) =$

$\{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathcal{M}_{N_6}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_5}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Here (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. But $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{M}_{N_3})) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{M}_{N_5})) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is not strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Definition 4.9. A Neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is called maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable if (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable and every $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set of (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -open set.

Example

4.10. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle(0.4, 0.4, 0.5), (0.4, 0.5, 0.5)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle(0.5, 0.6, 0.4), (0.5, 0.5, 0.4)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle(0.7, 0.7, 0.3), (0.8, 0.8, 0.2)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle(0.3, 0.3, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2, 0.8)\rangle$. Now $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is \mathcal{NT} on \mathcal{X}_N . Here, $\mathcal{N}g^\#CS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Here, $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_{N_1}^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{M}_{N_3}^c) \neq \mathbf{1}_N$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. Also, every $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Theorem 4.11. If (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space then (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N be a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Then $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Since (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space and every $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -dense set of (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -open set. Then \mathcal{A}_N is $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathcal{A}_N$. Now $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$. Hence (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. \square

Remark 4.12. Converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example

4.13. Let $\mathcal{X}_N = \{p, q\}$. Consider the Neutrosophic sets $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} = \langle(0.6, 0.6, 0.3), (0.6, 0.6, 0.3)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_2} = \langle(0.3, 0.4, 0.6), (0.3, 0.4, 0.6)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_3} = \langle(0.3, 0.3, 0.7), (0.3, 0.3, 0.7)\rangle$, $\mathcal{M}_{N_4} = \langle(0.7, 0.7, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7, 0.3)\rangle$. Now $(\mathcal{X}_N, \tau_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_2}, \mathcal{M}_{N_3}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is Neutrosophic topological space. Then $\tau_N = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$ is \mathcal{NT} on \mathcal{X}_N . Here $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathbf{0}_N, \mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$, $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS(\mathcal{X}_N) = \{\mathcal{M}_{N_1}, \mathcal{M}_{N_4}, \mathbf{1}_N\}$. Then (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space. Here, \mathcal{M}_{N_4} is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#DS$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) but not a $\mathcal{N}g^\#OS$. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is not a maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ -irresolvable space.

Theorem 4.14. *Let (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) be a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space. Then $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \subseteq (\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{B}_N))^c$ for any two $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense sets $\mathcal{A}_N, \mathcal{B}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_N and \mathcal{B}_N be any two $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense sets in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . Then $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{B}_N) = \mathbf{1}_N$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{A}_N)) = \mathbf{1}_N \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{N}g^\#cl(\mathcal{B}_N)) = \mathbf{1}_N \neq \mathbf{0}_N$. Since (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space, by Theorem ??, we have $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{B}_N) \neq \mathbf{0}_N$. We know that every strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space. Therefore, (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) is a $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space. Then by Theorem ??, we get $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{B}_N^c$. Now $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{B}_N^c \subseteq (\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{B}_N))^c$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{A}_N) \subseteq (\mathcal{N}g^\#int(\mathcal{B}_N))^c$ for any two $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense sets $\mathcal{A}_N, \mathcal{B}_N$ in (\mathcal{X}_N, τ_N) . \square

Conclusion:

Our work combines the concepts of topology and neutrosophy, resulting in the development of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - resolvable and irresolvable spaces. We derived the maximal $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space, a stronger form of $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space which is also $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space with the property that every $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - dense set is $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - open. Further, we proved that a Strongly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - irresolvable space is open hereditarily irresolvable. This article allows researchers to probe the decomposability of topological spaces and uncover their underlying structure, which promises to reveal new insights and theoretical applications in Neutrosophic topological spaces.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Atanassov K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1986, 20, 87-96.
- [2] Babisha Julit R L, Pious Missier S, On Slightly $\mathcal{N}g^\#$ - Continuous Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Space, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, 2022, Vol. 68, No. 2, pp. 61-65.
- [3] Chang C. L., Fuzzy topological spaces, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.*, **24**, 1968, 182- 190.
- [4] Dogan Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces', *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1997, 88, 81-89.
- [5] Dhavaseelan R., Narmada Devi R. and S. Jafari, Characterization Of Neutrosophic Nowhere Dense Sets, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 2018, 9, 1-5.
- [6] Dhavaseelan R. and Jafari S., Generalized Neutrosophic closed sets, *New trends in Neutrosophic theory and applications*, 2018, 2, 261-273.
- [7] Floretin Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set:- A Generalization of Intuitionistic Fuzzy set, *Journal of Defense Resources Management*, 2010, 1, 107-116.
- [8] Florentin Smarandache, New Types of Topologies and Neutrosophic Topologies, *Neutrosophic Systems with Applications*, vol.1, (2023): pp. 1-3. (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8166164>)
- [9] Jayanthi D., On α Generalized closed sets in Neutrosophic topological spaces, *International Conference on Recent Trends in Mathematics and Information Technology*, 2018, 88-91.
- [10] N.Levine, Generalized closed set in topology, *Rend.Circ.Mat Palermo*, (1970), 19, 89-96.

-
- [11] Pious Missier S, Babisha Julit R. L.,J,Martina Jency., On Quasi $Ng\#$ - Open and Quasi $Ng\#$ - Closed Mappings in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces,International journal of Neutrosophic Science, 2022, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 41- 47.
- [12] Pious Missier S, Babisha Julit R. L.,On $Ng\#$ - Contra Continuous Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Space, Design Engineering, 2021, Vol. 6, pp. 8606-8614.
- [13] Pious Missier S, Babisha Julit R. L.,J,Martina Jency., $Ng\#$ - Homeomorphism in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, International Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 2021, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 2801- 2805.
- [14] Pious Missier S., Babisha Julit R. L., On Neutrosophic $g^\#$ – Continuous Functions and Neurtosophic $g^\#$ – Irresolute Functions, Abstract Proceedings of 24th FAI-ICDBSMD 2021, Vol. 6(i), 49
- [15] Pious Missier S., Babisha Julit R. L., On $Ng^\#$ – Interior and $Ng^\#$ – Closure in Neutrosophic Topological Space,Conference Proceedings of NCA GT- 2021, 122- 133.
- [16] Pious Missier S, Babisha Julit R. L.,J,Martina Jency.,On Totally $Ng\#$ - Continuous Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Space, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 2022, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 64-68.
- [17] Pious Missier S, Babisha Julit R. L.,Sucila.E., On Almost $Ng\#$ - Contra Continuous Functions,pp. 1- 5, National Seminar on Recent Trends in Graph Theory and its Applications, G. Venkataswamy Naidu College, Kovilpatti, Volume 1, 2021,
- [18] Runu Dhar, Compactness and Neutrosophic Topological Space via Grills, Neutrosophic Systems with Applications, vol.2, (2023): pp. 1–7. (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8179373>)
- [19] Salama A. A. and Alblowi S. A., Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic topological spaces, IOSR Jour. of Mathematics, 2012, 31-35.
- [20] Salama A. A., Florentin Smarandache and Valeri Kroumov, Neutrosophic Closed set and Neutrosophic Continuous Function, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2014, 4, 4–8.
- [21] Sudeep Dey, Gautam Chandra Ray, Separation Axioms in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Systems with Applications, vol.2, (2023): pp. 38–54. (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8195851>)
- [22] Wadei Al-Omeri and Saeid Jafari, On Generalized Closed Sets and Generalized Pre-Closed in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Mathematics MDPI, 2018, 7, 01-12.
- [23] Zadeh L. A., Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 1965, 8, 338-353.

Received: June 27, 2024. Accepted: Oct 14, 2024