



Neutrosophic fuzzy metric spaces and fixed points for contractions of nonlinear type

Ayman. A Hazaymeh^{1,*}, and Anwar Bataihah¹

¹Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan;
aymanha@jadara.edu.jo; ayman hazaymeh@yahoo.com

¹Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan;
a.bataihah@jadara.edu.jo; anwerbataihah@gmail.com

*Correspondence: aymanha@jadara.edu.jo

Abstract. In this research, we present fixed point theorems pertaining to nonlinear contractions, situated within the sophisticated framework of neutrosophic fuzzy metric spaces. Furthermore, we establish several fixed point results that are pertinent to this specific context.

Keywords: Fixed point; Neutrosophic fuzzy metric; Geraghty; nonlinear contractions.

1. Introduction

The concept of Fuzzy Sets (FSs), initially proposed by Zadeh [1], has had a profound impact across numerous scientific disciplines since its inception. Although this framework is highly relevant for practical applications, it has not always provided effective solutions to various challenges over time. Consequently, there has been a resurgence of interest in research aimed at addressing these issues. In this regard, Atanassov [2] introduced Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs) as a means to confront such challenges. Additionally, the Neutrosophic Set (NS), developed by Smarandache [3], represents a complex extension of conventional set theory. Other significant generalizations include interval-valued FS [4], interval-valued IFS [5], as well as paraconsistent, dialetheist, paradoxist, and tautological sets [6], along with Pythagorean fuzzy sets [7]. Neutrosophic sets exhibit a diverse range of applications across multiple domains. For instance, Barbosa and Smarandache [8] presented the Neutrosophic One-Round Zero-Knowledge Proof protocol (N-1-R) ZKP, which enhances the One-Round (1-R) ZKP framework by integrating

Neutrosophic numbers. Furthermore, the authors in [10] provide a comprehensive characterization of efficient and optimally suitable solutions related to scalar optimization problems, as well as outlining the Kuhn-Tucker conditions relevant to both efficiency and proper efficiency. For a more thorough exploration of the applications of neutrosophic sets and their extensive uses, it is recommended to consult the literature referenced in [9–20, 23, 24, 48]. Our objective is to integrate our research with novel concepts, as demonstrated in the applications of [30, 31, 37, 38, 49, 53].

The Banach fixed-point theorem [21], often referred to as the Banach contraction principle, is a fundamental theorem in mathematics, particularly in the study of metric spaces. It ensures the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for specific self-maps within these spaces, thus providing a systematic method for identifying such points. The theorem serves as a foundational element for Picard's method of successive approximations. Introduced by Stefan Banach in 1922, this theorem has motivated numerous mathematicians to investigate various extensions and generalizations across a wide array of mathematical disciplines, as evidenced by the citations in [?, ?, 22, 25–29, 34–36, 39–42, 44]. A prominent example of its application is found in the concept of neutrosophic metric space (NMS), which was initially proposed by Kirisci and Simsek [?]. This framework has been utilized to analyze a range of fixed point theorems.

2. Preliminary

In this framework, the interval $]0-, 1 + [$ is characterized as a non-standard unit interval. Within this context, non-standard finite numbers are articulated as $(1+) = 1 + \epsilon$, where "1" represents the standard component and ϵ denotes the non-standard element. In a similar manner, $(0-) = 0 - \epsilon$, with "0" indicating the standard component and ϵ as the non-standard element. The numbers 0 and 1 can be interpreted as non-standard values that are infinitesimally small yet less than 0 or infinitesimally small yet greater than 1, respectively, and these values are encompassed within the non-standard unit interval $]0-, 1 + [$.

Definition 2.1. [1] In relation to a universal set U , a fuzzy set F is defined by the notation $F = \{ \langle a, \mu_F(\xi) \rangle : 0 \leq \mu_F(\xi) \leq 1, \xi \in U \}$. In this context, $\mu_F(\xi)$ represents the degree of membership of the element ξ within the fuzzy set F .

Definition 2.2. [3] A neutrosophic set V relative to a universal set U is defined as $V = \{ \langle \xi, (T_N(\xi), I_N(\xi), F_N(\xi)) \rangle : \xi \in U, T_N(\xi), I_N(\xi), F_N(\xi) \in]0-, 1 + [\}$. In this context, $T_N(\xi)$, $I_N(\xi)$, and $F_N(\xi)$ represent the membership degrees of truth, indeterminacy, and falsity for an element ξ within the set V , respectively, while $]0-, 1 + [$ signifies a non-standard unit interval.

Definition 2.3. [50] A neutrosophic fuzzy set B within a universal set U is characterized as follows: $B = \{ \langle x, (\mu_B(\xi), T_B(\xi, \mu), I_B(\xi, \mu), F(\xi, \mu)) \rangle : \xi \in U, \mu_B(\xi) \in [0, 1] \}$,

$T_B(\xi, \mu), I_B(\xi, \mu), F(\xi, \mu) \in]0-, 1 + [$ } In this framework, the membership degree $\mu_B(\xi)$ is represented by three distinct components: the truth membership grade $T_B(\xi, \mu)$, the indeterminacy membership grade $I_B(\xi, \mu)$, and the falsity membership grade $F(\xi, \mu)$. The notation $]0-, 1 + [$ signifies a nonstandard unit interval.

Triangular norms (shortly TN), initially introduced by Menger [51], are a fundamental concept in mathematical analysis. Menger's innovative approach involved using probability distributions to assess the distance between two elements within a specific space, moving beyond the traditional reliance on numerical values. This technique facilitates the extension of the triangle inequality in metric spaces through the application of triangular norms. In contrast, triangular conorms (shortly CN) serve as the dual counterparts to t-norms. Both TN and CN are essential in fuzzy operations, especially concerning intersections and unions.

In this manuscript, we denote \mathbb{R}^+ as the interval $(0, \infty)$ and I as the interval $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.4. Consider an operation $\diamond : I \times I \rightarrow I$. This operation is classified as continuous TN (CTN) if it meets the following criteria: for any elements $\sigma, \sigma', t, t' \in I$.

- (1) $\sigma \diamond 1 = \sigma$,
- (2) If $\sigma \leq \sigma'$ and $t \leq t'$, than $\sigma \diamond t \leq \sigma' \diamond t'$,
- (3) \diamond is continuous,
- (4) \diamond is commutative and associate.

Definition 2.5. Consider an operation $\bullet : I \times I \rightarrow I$. This operation is classified as continuous TN (CTN) if it meets the following criteria: for all elements $\sigma, \sigma', t, t' \in I$.

- (1) $\sigma \bullet 0 = \sigma$,
- (2) If $\sigma \leq \sigma'$ and $t \leq t'$, than $\sigma \bullet t \leq \sigma' \bullet t'$,
- (3) \bullet is continuous,
- (4) \bullet is commutative and associate.

Definition 2.6. [?] A 6-tuple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ is referred to as a Neutrosophic Metric Space (NMS) if the set \mathcal{X} is a non-empty arbitrary collection, \diamond signifies a continuous t-norm, \bullet indicates a continuous t-conorm, and the elements \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{D} are three fuzzy sets established on the Cartesian product $\mathcal{X}^2 \times (0, \infty)$. These components must satisfy the following specific conditions for all elements $\xi, \omega, c \in \mathcal{X}$ and for all positive real numbers λ, ρ .

- (1) $0 \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1, 0 \leq \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1, 0 \leq \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1$,
- (2) $0 \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) + \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) + \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 3$,
- (3) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$, for $\lambda > 0$ iff $\xi = \omega$
- (4) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = H(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$, for $\lambda > 0$

- (5) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\omega, c, \rho) \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$
- (6) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (7) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$
- (8) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$ iff $\xi = \omega$
- (9) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{C}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$,
- (10) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \bullet \mathcal{C}(\omega, c, \rho) \geq \mathcal{C}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$,
- (11) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (12) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$
- (13) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$, for $\lambda > 0$ iff $\xi = \omega$
- (14) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$,
- (15) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \bullet \mathcal{D}(\omega, c, \rho) \geq \mathcal{D}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$,
- (16) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (17) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$
- (18) If $\lambda \leq 0$, then $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$, $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$

The functions $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$, $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$, and $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$ represent the degrees of nearness, neutrality, and non-nearness between the elements ξ and ω in relation to the parameter λ , respectively.

Recently, Ghosh et al. [52] presented the notion of neutrosophic fuzzy metric spaces and examined various topological characteristics associated with this concept.

Definition 2.7. [52] A 7-tuple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ is known as a Neutrosophic Fuzzy Metric Space (NFMS) if \mathcal{X} is an arbitrary set, \diamond is a continuous t-norm, \bullet is a continuous t-conorm, and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$, and \mathcal{D} are fuzzy sets on $\mathcal{X}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $\xi, \omega, c, \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda, \rho > 0$.

- (1) $0 \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 1$,
- (2) $0 \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) + \mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) + \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) + \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \leq 4$,
- (3) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$, iff $\xi = \omega$
- (4) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{A}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$,
- (5) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\omega, c, \rho) \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$, for $\rho, \lambda > 0$
- (6) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (7) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$
- (8) $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$, iff $\xi = \omega$
- (9) $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$, for $\lambda > 0$
- (10) $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \diamond \mathcal{B}(\omega, c, \rho) \leq \mathcal{B}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$,
- (11) $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous

- (12) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$
- (13) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$, iff $\xi = \omega$
- (14) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{C}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$,
- (15) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \bullet \mathcal{C}(\omega, c, \rho) \geq \mathcal{C}(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$,
- (16) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (17) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$
- (18) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$, iff $\xi = \omega$
- (19) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\omega, \xi, \lambda)$,
- (20) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) \bullet \mathcal{D}(\omega, c, \rho) \geq S(\xi, c, \lambda + \rho)$,
- (21) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow I$ is continuous
- (22) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$
- (23) If $\lambda \leq 0$, then $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 0$, $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda) = 1$

In this context, $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$ represents the certainty that distance between ξ and ω is less than λ , $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$ represents the degree of nearness, $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$ stands for the degree of neutralness, and $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)$ denotes the degree of non-nearness between ξ and ω with respect to λ , respectively.

The convergence, Cauchyness, completeness are given as follows.

Definition 2.8. [52] Let (ξ_n) be a sequence in a NFMS $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$. Then

- (1) (ξ_n) converges to $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ iff for a given $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq n_0$

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) > 1 - \epsilon, \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) < \epsilon, \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) < \epsilon$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi, \lambda) = 0$$

- (2) (ξ_n) is called Cauchy iff for a given $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n, m \geq n_0$

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) > 1 - \epsilon, \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) > 1 - \epsilon, \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) < \epsilon, \mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) < \epsilon$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) = 1, \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) = 1, \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) = 0, \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) = 0$$

- (3) $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ is called complete if each Cauchy sequence is convergent to an element in \mathcal{X} .

3. Main Result

Definition 3.1. In this context, we define a real-valued function of three variables on $\mathcal{X}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ where \mathcal{X} is any non-empty set, denoted as \mathcal{G} to possess the property (UC) if for any sequences (ξ_n) and (ω_n) in \mathcal{X} , the following equality holds:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(\xi_n, \omega_n, \lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \mathcal{G}(\xi_n, \omega_n, \lambda).$$

whenever the two limits are exist.

Throughout the remainder of this study, we will assume that each of the fuzzy sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ exhibits the *UC* property.

We will commence with several pertinent lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ be a NFMS. Then*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing
- (2) $\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing
- (3) $\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-increasing
- (4) $\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-increasing

Proof. (1) Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Then, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + \delta$.

From (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda_1) &= \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda_2 + \delta) \\ &\geq \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda_2) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\omega, \omega, \delta) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

The proofs for (2),(3) and (4) are identical to that of (1). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ be a NFMS, and let (ξ_n) be a sequence such that for $\lambda > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\xi_p, \xi_q, \lambda) &\geq \mathcal{A}(\xi_{p-1}, \xi_{q-1}, \lambda) \\ \mathcal{B}(\xi_p, \xi_q, \lambda) &\geq \mathcal{B}(\xi_{p-1}, \xi_{q-1}, \lambda) \\ \mathcal{C}(\xi_p, \xi_q, \lambda) &\leq \mathcal{C}(\xi_{p-1}, \xi_{q-1}, \lambda) \\ \mathcal{D}(\xi_p, \xi_q, \lambda) &\leq \mathcal{D}(\xi_{p-1}, \xi_{q-1}, \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &= 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &= 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If (ξ_n) is not Cauchy, then there exist an $1 > \epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ along with two subsequences (ξ_{n_k}) and (ξ_{m_k}) derived from (ξ_n) , where (m_k) such that one at least of the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) &= 1 - \epsilon, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) &= 1 - \epsilon, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) &= \epsilon, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If (ξ_n) is not Cauchy, then for each $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) &\neq 1, \\ \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) &\neq 1, \\ \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) \neq 0.$$

Case 1: If $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_m, \lambda) \neq 1$, then there are $\lambda > 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$ along with two subsequences (ξ_{n_k}) and (ξ_{m_k}) derived from (ξ_n) , where (m_k) is selected as the smallest index satisfying the condition.

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) \leq 1 - \epsilon, \quad m_k > n_k > k. \tag{3}$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) > 1 - \epsilon. \tag{4}$$

chose $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda + \delta) &\geq \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\xi_{m_k-1}, \xi_{m_k}, \delta) \\ &> (1 - \epsilon) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\xi_{m_k-1}, \xi_{m_k}, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation 2, we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda + \delta) \geq (1 - \epsilon).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \epsilon) &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda + \delta) \\
 &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda + \delta) \\
 &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, from 3, it follows

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) \leq (1 - \epsilon).$$

So, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) = (1 - \epsilon).$$

Again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda + \delta) &\geq \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{n_k}, \delta) \diamond \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) \\
 &> \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{n_k}, \delta) \diamond (1 - \epsilon).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation 2, we get $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda + \delta) \geq (1 - \epsilon)$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \epsilon) &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda + \delta) \\
 &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda + \delta) \\
 &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

From Eq 3, we get

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) \leq \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) \leq (1 - \epsilon).$$

So,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) \leq (1 - \epsilon).$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda) = (1 - \epsilon).$$

The demonstration for the remaining cases is Similar to that of Case (1). \square

In order to support our primary conclusion, we require the subsequent category of functions as delineated by Geraghty in [56].

Definition 3.4. [56] Let S represent the set of all functions $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ that fulfill the following condition:

$$\alpha(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \implies t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 3.5. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ be a NFMS, $\alpha \in S$. A mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called α -neutrosophic fuzzy contraction if for each $\xi, \omega \in \mathcal{X}$ and each $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \mathcal{C}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda) &\leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda))(\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda))(\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)).$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ be a complete NFMS, Suppose that there is $\alpha \in S$ such that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is α -neutrosophic fuzzy contraction. Consequently, the function f possesses a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ represents an arbitrary point. We examine the Picard sequence (ξ_n) characterized by the relation $\xi_{n+1} = f(\xi_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. By Definition 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &\leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda))(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda))(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1}{\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right)} \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1}{\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right)} \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)}{(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda))} \leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)), \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)}{(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda))} \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)). \tag{8}$$

And also, we get

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 < \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1,$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 < \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1,$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) < (\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) < (\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)).$$

So, we have

- (1) the sequence $(\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is nondecreasing in $[0,1]$, and hence, there is $r_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1$ such that $r_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the limit of this sequence.
- (2) the sequence $(\mathcal{B}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is nondecreasing in $[0,1]$, and hence, there is $r_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1$ such that $r_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the limit of this sequence.
- (3) the sequence $(\mathcal{C}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is nonincreasing in $[0,1]$, and hence, there is $r_{\mathcal{C}} \geq 0$ such that $r_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the limit of this sequence.

and

- (4) the sequence $(\mathcal{D}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is nonincreasing in $[0,1]$, and hence, there is $r_{\mathcal{D}} \geq 0$ such that $r_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the limit of this sequence..

Case 1: If $r_{\mathcal{A}} < 1$, by taking the limit in Eq 5, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) = 1$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 = 0,$$

a contradiction. So $r_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$. By the same way we conclude that $r_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$, $r_{\mathcal{C}} = 0$ and $r_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$.

Now, we claim that (ξ_n) is Cauchy. If not then by Lemma 3.3, then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ along with two subsequences (ξ_{n_k}) and (ξ_{m_k}) derived from (ξ_n) , where (m_k) such that one of the following holds

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) = 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) = 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) = \epsilon,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) = \epsilon.$$

Using Definition 3.5, we deduce that one of the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) &\leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda))(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda))(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda))$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)} - 1}{\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right)} &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)} - 1}{\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right)} &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)}{(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda))} &\leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{m_k}, \lambda)}{(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda))} \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)).$$

Hence, by taking the limit on $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)) = 1,$$

or

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)) = 1.$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{C}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)) = 0,$$

or

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{D}(\xi_{n_k-1}, \xi_{m_k-1}, \lambda)) = 0.$$

which leads to a contradiction in each single case.

Hence (ξ_n) is a Cauchy sequence, thus, there is $u \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\xi_n \rightarrow u$.

Definition 3.5 gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(fu, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(fu, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \mathcal{C}(fu, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) &\leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(u, \xi_n, \lambda))(\mathcal{C}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(fu, \xi_{n+1}, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(u, \xi_n, \lambda))(\mathcal{D}(u, \xi_n, \lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Which implies that ξ_{n+1} converges to fu , hence $u = fu$.

Let $v \in \mathcal{X}$ with $v = fv$. If $u \neq v$, then from Definition 3.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(fu, fv, \lambda)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1, \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(fu, fv, \lambda)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(u, v, \lambda)} - 1, \\ \mathcal{C}(u, v, \lambda) &= \mathcal{C}(fu, fv, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}(u, v, \lambda))(\mathcal{C}(u, v, \lambda)) < \mathcal{C}(u, v, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(u, v, \lambda) = \mathcal{D}(fu, fv, \lambda) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{D}(u, v, \lambda))(\mathcal{D}(u, v, \lambda)) < \mathcal{D}(u, v, \lambda).$$

which is a contradiction. So $u = v$. \square

By defining the function $\alpha(s) = q$, with the constant q restricted to the interval $[0, 1)$, we can draw the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \diamond, \bullet)$ be a complete NFMS, Suppose that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ satisfies the following for each $\xi, \omega \in \mathcal{X}$ and each $\lambda > 0$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq q \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda)} - 1 &\leq q \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\xi, \omega, \lambda)} - 1 \right), \\ \mathcal{C}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda) &\leq q\mathcal{C}(\xi, \omega, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(f\xi, f\omega, \lambda) \leq q\mathcal{D}(\xi, \omega, \lambda).$$

Consequently, the function f possesses a unique fixed point.

4. Conclusion

In this research, we presented fixed point theorems related to nonlinear contractions within the advanced framework of neutrosophic fuzzy metric spaces. Additionally, we established several fixed point results relevant to this specific context. For future studies, these mathematical tools can be used effectively with other tools and techniques that can be observed through [57]– [77]

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zadeh, LA. (1965) Fuzzy sets, *Inf Comp*, 8, 338353.
2. Atanassov K. (1986) Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 8796.
3. Smarandache, F. (2005) Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Inter J Pure Appl Math*, 24, 287297.
4. Turksen, I. (1996) Interval valued fuzzy sets based on normal forms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 191210.
5. Atanassov K., Gargov G. (1989) Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Inf Comp*, 31, 343349.
6. Smarandache, F. (2003) A unifying field in logics: Neutrosophic logic. *Neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic probability and statistics*. Phoenix, Xiquan.
7. Yager, R.R. (2013) Pythagorean fuzzy subsets. *Proc Joint IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting*, Edmonton, Canada, 2013.
8. Barbosa, R.P. and Smarandache, F., 2024. Neutrosophic One-Round Zero-Knowledge Proof. *Plithogenic Logic and Computation*, 2, pp.49-54.
9. Hazaymeh, A. (2025). Time Fuzzy Soft Sets and its application in design-making. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, (3), 37-50.
10. Abd Elwahed, H., Alburaihan, A. and Smarandache, F., 2024. On characterizing efficient and properly efficient solutions for multi-objective programming problems in a complex space. *Journal of Optimization in Industrial Engineering*, 16(2), pp.369-375.
11. Wajid, M.S., Terashima-Marin, H., Wajid, M.A., Smarandache, F., Verma, S.B. and Wajid, M.K., 2024. Neutrosophic Logic to Navigate Uncertainty of Security Events in Mexico. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 73, pp.120-130.
12. Ashika, T., Grace, H., Martin, N. and Smarandache, F., 2024. Enhanced Neutrosophic Set and Machine Learning Approach for Breast Cancer Prediction. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 73, pp.206-217.
13. Hazaymeh, A. (2025). Time Factors Impact On Fuzzy Soft Expert Sets *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, (3), 155-176.
14. Angammal, S., Martin, N. and Smarandache, F., 2024. Multi Attribute Neutrosophic Optimization Technique for Optimal Crop Selection in Ariyalur District. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 73, pp.153-168.
15. Salem, A., Mohamed, M. and Smarandache, F., 2024. IM4. 0EF: Tele-Medical Realization via Integrating Vague T2NSs with OWCM-RAM Toward Intelligent Medical 4.0 Evaluator Framework. *Sustainable Machine Intelligence Journal*, 9, pp.79-88.
16. Christianto, V., Smarandache, F. and Boyd, R.N., 2024. Remark on Regenerative Medicine and Potential Utilization of Low-Intensity Laser Photobiomodulation to Activate Human Stem Cells. *Bio-Science Research Bulletin (Life sciences)*, pp.52-55.
17. Hazaymeh, A. (2024). Time Effective Fuzzy Soft Set and Its Some Applications with and Without a Neutrosophic. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, (2), 129-29.

18. Hazaymeh, A. A. M. (2013). Fuzzy Soft Set And Fuzzy Soft Expert Set: Some Generalizations And Hypothetical Applications (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia).
19. FALLATAH, A., MASSA'DEH, M. O., & ALKOURI, A. U. (2022). NORMAL AND COSETS OF (γ, ϑ) -FUZZY HX-SUBGROUPS. *Journal of applied mathematics & informatics*, 40(3-4), 719-727.
20. Alkhazaleh, S., Hazaymeh, A. A. (2018). N-valued refined neutrosophic soft sets and their applications in decision making problems and medical diagnosis. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research*, 8(1), 79-86.
21. Banach, S.(1922). Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intégrales. *fund. math*, **3**, 133-181.
22. Karapnar, E., & fulga, A. (2023). Discussions on Proinov- C_b -Contraction Mapping on-Metric Space. *Journal of function Spaces*, 2023(9), 1-10.
23. Hazaymeh, A. A., Abdullah, I. B., Balkhi, Z. T., Ibrahim, R. I. (2012). Generalized fuzzy soft expert set. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2012(1), 328195.
24. Hazaymeh, A. A., Abdullah, I. B., Balkhi, Z. T., Ibrahim, R. I. (2012). Fuzzy Parameterized Fuzzy Soft Expert Set. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2012(1), 328195.
25. Karapnar, E., Romaguera, S., & Tirado, P. (2022). Characterizations of quasi-metric and G -metric completeness involving ω -distances and fixed points. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 55(1), 939-951.
26. Aydi, H., Karapinar, E., & Postolache, M. (2012). Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak Φ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012** 44, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-44>.
27. A. Bataihah. Some fixed point results with application to fractional differential equation via new type of distance spaces. *Results in Nonlinear Analysis* 2024, 7, 202208.
28. A. Bataihah, T. Qawasmeh, (2024). A new type of distance spaces and fixed point Results, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **15**(4), 81–90.
29. Bataihah, A., Qawasmeh, T.,& Shatnawi, M. (2022). Discussion on b-metric spaces and related results in metric and G-metric spaces. *Nonlinear functional Analysis and Applications* 27, no. 2, 233-247.
30. Hatamleh, R., and Hazaymeh, A. (2024). On Some Topological Spaces Based On Symbolic n-Plithogenic Intervals. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(1), 23-3.
31. Hatamleh, R., and Hazaymeh, A. (2025). On The Topological Spaces of Neutrosophic Real Intervals. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(1), 130-30. *Neutrosophic Science*, 25(1), 172-72.
32. Shatanawi, W., Qawasmeh, T., Bataihah A., & Tallafha, A. (2021). New contractions and some fixed point results with application based on extended quasi b-metric spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 83, Iss. 2 (2021) 1223-7027.
33. Qawasmeh, T., Shatanawi, W., Bataihah, A., & Tallafha, A. (2021). Fixed point results and (α, ϖ) -triangular admissibility in the frame of complete extended b-metric spaces and application, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 83, no. 1 (2021): 113-124.
34. Abu-Irwaq, Issam, Inam Nuseir, and Anwar Bataihah. Common fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces with Ω -distance, *J. Math. Anal* 8.1 (2017): 120-129.
35. Abodayeh, K. Bataihah, A., & Shatanawi, W. (2017). Generalized Ω -distance mappings and some fixed point theorems, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A*, 79 (2017): 223-232.
36. Abu-Irwaq, I., Shatanawi, W., Bataihah, A. & Nuseir, I. (2019). Fixed point results for nonlinear contractions with generalized Ω -distance mappings, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A* 81, no. 1 (2019): 57-64.
37. Hatamleh, R. (2024). Finding Minimal Units In Several Two-Fold Fuzzy Finite Neutrosophic Rings. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 70, 1-16.

38. Hatamleh, R., and Hazaymeh, A. (2025). The Properties of Two-Fold Algebra Based on the n-standard Fuzzy Number Theoretical System. *International Journal of*
39. Nazam, M., Arshad, M. and Postolache, M., 2018. Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for four mappings satisfying (α, ϕ) -contraction. *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, 23(5), pp.664-690.
40. Khan, M.S., Singh, Y.M., Maniu, G. and Postolache, M., 2018. On (α, ϕ) -convex contraction and asymptotic regularity. *J. Math. Comput. Sci*, 18, pp.132-145.
41. Latif, A., Postolache, M. and Alansari, M.O., 2022. Numerical reckoning common fixed point in CAT (0) spaces for a general class of operators. *UPB Sci. Bull*, 84, pp.3-12.
42. Shatanawi, W., Bataihah, A. (2021). Remarks on G-Metric Spaces and Related Fixed Point Theorems, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 19(2), 445-455.
<https://thaijmath2.in.cmu.ac.th/index.php/thaijmath/article/view/1168>
43. Bataihah, A. (2025). Fixed point results of Geraghty type contractions with equivalent distance. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(3), 177-186.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.250316>
44. Shatanawi, W., Maniu, G., Bataihah, A., & Ahmad, F. B. (2017). Common fixed points for mappings of cyclic form satisfying linear contractive conditions with Omega-distance. *UPB Sci., series A*, 79, 11-20.
45. Hazaymeh, A. (2024). Time Effective Fuzzy Soft Set and Its Some Applications with and Without a Neutrosophic. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, (2), 129-29.
46. Hazaymeh, A. A. M. (2013). *Fuzzy Soft Set And Fuzzy Soft Expert Set: Some Generalizations And Hypothetical Applications* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia)
47. Kirici, M., & imek, N. (2020). Neutrosophic metric spaces. *Mathematical Sciences*, 14(3), 241-248.
48. Hazaymeh, A., Saadeh, R., Hatamleh, R., Alomari, M. W., Qazza, A. (2023). A perturbed Milnes quadrature rule for n-times differentiable functions with L_p -error estimates. *Axioms*, 12(9), 803.
49. Hazaymeh, A., Qazza, A., Hatamleh, R., Alomari, M. W., Saadeh, R. (2023). On further refinements of numerical radius inequalities. *Axioms*, 12(9), 807.
50. Das, S., Roy, B.K., Kar, M.B., Kar, S. and Pamuar, D., 2020. Neutrosophic fuzzy set and its application in decision making. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 11, pp.5017-5029.
51. Menger, K. "Statistical Metrics." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 28, no. 12 (1942): 535-537.
52. Ghosh, S., Sonam, Bhardwaj, R. and Narayan, S., 2024. On Neutrosophic Fuzzy Metric Space and Its Topological Properties. *Symmetry*, 16(5), p.613.
53. Hazaymeh, A. (2025). Time Shadow Soft Set. *The International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems* , Vol . 12(4), Accepted.
54. IMEK, Necip, and Murat KIRICI. Fixed point theorems in Neutrosophic metric spaces. *Infinite Study*, 2019.
55. Liu, Z., Li, X., Kang, S.M. and Cho, S.Y., 2011. Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and applications. *Fixed point theory and Applications*, 2011, pp.1-18.
56. M. A. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40 (1973), 604608.
57. Hassan, N., & Al-Qudah, Y. (2019, April). Fuzzy parameterized complex multi-fuzzy soft set. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1212, p. 012016). IOP Publishing.
58. Ismail, J. N., Rodzi, Z., Al-Sharqi, F., Hashim, H., & Sulaiman, N. H. (2023). The integrated novel framework: linguistic variables in pythagorean neutrosophic set with DEMATEL for enhanced decision support. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sci*, 21(2), 129-141.
59. M. U. Romdhini, F. Al-Sharqi, A. Nawawi, A. Al-Quran and H. Rashmanlou, Signless Laplacian Energy of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Graph and its Applications, *Sains Malaysiana* 52(7), 2127-2137, 2023

60. A. Al-Quran, F. Al-Sharqi, A. U. Rahman and Z. M. Rodzi, The q-rung orthopair fuzzy-valued neutrosophic sets: Axiomatic properties, aggregation operators and applications. *AIMS Mathematics*, 9(2), 5038-5070, 2024.
61. Z. M. Rodzi et al. A DEMATEL Analysis of The Complex Barriers Hindering Digitalization Technology Adoption In The Malaysia Agriculture Sector. *Journal of Intelligent Systems and Internet of Things*, 13(1), 21-30, 2024.
62. Al-Qudah, Y. (2024). A robust framework for the decision-making based on single-valued neutrosophic fuzzy soft expert setting. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 23(2), 195-95.
63. Al-Qudah, Y. , Al-Sharqi, F. 2023. Algorithm for decision-making based on similarity measures of possibility interval-valued neutrosophic soft setting settings. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 22(3), pp. 6983.
64. F. Al-Sharqi, A. Al-Quran and Z. M. Rodzi, Multi-Attribute Group Decision-Making Based on Aggregation Operator and Score Function of Bipolar Neutrosophic Hypersoft Environment, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 61(1), 465-492, 2023.
65. Al-Qudah, Y., Alhazaymeh, K., Hassan, N., ... Almousa, M., Alaroud, M. Transitive Closure of Vague Soft Set Relations and its Operators. *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, 2022, 22(1), pp. 5968 DOI:10.5391/IJFIS.2022.22.1.59
66. F. Al-Sharqi, Y. Al-Qudah and N. Alotaibi, Decision-making techniques based on similarity measures of possibility neutrosophic soft expert sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 55(1) (2023), 358-382.
67. Al-Qudah, Y., Yousafzai, F., Khalaf, M.M., Almousa, M. On $(2, 2)$ -regular non-associative ordered semi-groups via its semilattices and generated (generalized fuzzy) ideals. *Mathematics and Statistics*, 2020, 8(3), pp. 353362 DOI:10.13189/ms.2020.080315
68. Al-Qudah, Y., Jaradat, A., Sharma, S. K., & Bhat, V. K. (2024). Mathematical analysis of the structure of one-heptagonal carbon nanocone in terms of its basis and dimension. *Physica Scripta*, 99(5), 055252.
69. Al-Qudah, Y., Hassan, N. Mapping on complex multi-fuzzy soft expert classes. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019, 1212(1), 012019 DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/1212/1/012019
70. Al-Quran, A., Al-Sharqi, F., Rodzi, Z. M., Aladil, M., Romdhini, M. U., Tahat, M. K., & Solaiman, O. S. (2023). The Algebraic Structures of Q-Complex Neutrosophic Soft Sets Associated with Groups and Subgroups. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 22(1), 60-77.
71. Al-Qudah, Y., & Ganie, A. H. (2023). Bidirectional approximate reasoning and pattern analysis based on a novel Fermatean fuzzy similarity metric. *Granular Computing*, 8(6), 1767-1782.
72. Ismail, J. N., Rodzi, Z., Hashim, H., Sulaiman, N. H., Al-Sharqi, F., Al-Quran, A., & Ahmad, A. G. Enhancing Decision Accuracy in DEMATEL using Bonferroni Mean Aggregation under Pythagorean Neutrosophic Environment. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension & Applications (JFEA)*, 4(4), 281 - 298, 2023.
73. Al-Qudah, Y., Al-Sharqi, F., Mishlish, M., & Rasheed, M. M. (2023). Hybrid integrated decision-making algorithm based on AO of possibility interval-valued neutrosophic soft settings. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 22(3), 84 - 98.
74. Ganie, A.H., Gheith, N.E.M., Al-Qudah, Y., ... Aqlan, A.M., Khalaf, M.M. An Innovative Fermatean Fuzzy Distance Metric With its Application in Classification and Bidirectional Approximate Reasoning. *IEEE Access*, 2024, 12, pp. 47804791 DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3348780
75. Al-Qudah, Y., Hassan, N. Fuzzy parameterized complex multi-fuzzy soft expert sets. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2019, 2111, 020022
76. Abed, M. M.; Al-Sharqi, F.; Zail, S. H. A Certain Conditions on Some Rings Give P.P. Ring. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2021, 1818(1), 012068.

77. Abed, M. M., & Al-Sharqi, F. G. (2018, May). Classical Artinian module and related topics. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1003, No. 1, p. 012065). IOP Publishing.

Received: Aug 6, 2024. Accepted: Nov 7, 2024