



A Comparison between Euler's Method and 4-th Order Runge-Kutta Method For Numerical Solutions of Neutrosophic and Dual Differential Problems

Ahmed Salem Heilat

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara
University, P.O. Box 733, Irbid 21110, Jordan

Email: ahmed_heilat@yahoo.com

Abstract:

This paper is dedicated to study some numerical solutions of neutrosophic and dual differential problems through a numerical comparison between neutrosophic Euler's method and 4-th order Runge-Kutta neutrosophic and dual method, where we apply both methods on the same problems and compare the numerical results of solutions and numerical estimations of errors by using numerical tables.

Keywords: Numerical solution, neutrosophic problem, neutrosophic error, numerical estimation

1. Introduction

Numerical analysis is a basic branch of mathematics and is primarily concerned with finding approximate values and approximate solutions to algebraic or differential equations, for which solutions are difficult to find using traditional methods [1-6]. Numerical analysis is closely related to algorithms and computer science [7-10]. The concept of neutrosophic logic and the neutrosophic set was developed to deal with the presence of indeterminacy in scientific data and theses, and sometimes to deal with the lack or conflict of data related to a certain research question [11-15]. In many research papers published recently [16-20], numerical solutions have been studied and found for many equations that contain an element of indeterminacy (neutrosophic), where the effectiveness of many methods in studying these solutions has been compared and classified into independent tables called numerical tables

[21-25]. In this research, we are interested in following in the footsteps of researchers in works concerned with neutrosophic numerical analysis [26-33], where we study some numerical solutions of neutrosophic and dual differential problems through a numerical comparison between neutrosophic Euler's method and 4-th order Runge-Kutta neutrosophic and dual method, where we apply both methods on the same problems and compare the numerical results of solutions and numerical estimations of errors by using numerical tables.

2. Main Discussion

Definition 2.1 Consider the following (IVP) of neutrosophic variables:

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2 I)' = \frac{d(y_1 + y_2 I)^\theta}{d(x_1 + x_2 I)} = f(x_1 + x_2 I, y_1 + y_2 I) \\ (y_1 + y_2 I)(x_0 + x_0' I) = y_0 + y_0' I \quad ; x_0, x_0', y_0, y_0' \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases},$$

The method can be explained by the following equations:

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)(x_1 + x_2 I) = (y_n + y_n' I) + f(x_0 + x_0' I, y_0 + y_0' I)(x_0 + x_0' I),$$

when $x_1 + x_2 I = x_1' + x_2' I$, we have:

$$y_1 + y_1' I = y_0 + y_0' I + (h_1 + h_2 I)f(x_0 + x_0' I, y_0 + y_0' I),$$

The next approximations are:

$$y_2 + y_2' I = y_1 + y_1' I + (h_1 + h_2 I)f(x_1 + x_1' I, y_1 + y_1' I), \text{ at the point } x_1 + x_2 I = x_2 + x_2' I,$$

$$y_3 + y_3' I = y_2 + y_2' I + (h_1 + h_2 I)f(x_2 + x_2' I, y_2 + y_2' I), \text{ and } y_{n+1} + y_{n+1}' I = y_n + y_n' I +$$

$$(h_1 + h_2 I)f(x_n + x_n' I, y_n + y_n' I) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Definition 2.2 Consider the following (IVP) of neutrosophic variables:

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)' = f(x_1 + x_2 I, y_1 + y_2 I), (y_1 + y_2 I)(x_0 + x_0' I) = y_0 + y_0' I,$$

Let

$$h = (x_1 + x'_1 I) - (x_0 + x'_0 I) = (x_1 - x_0) + I(x'_1 - x'_0),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} &(y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I + h + h' I) \\ &= (y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I) + (h + h' I)(y_1 + y_2 I)'(x + x' I) \\ &+ \frac{(h + h' I)^2}{2!} (y_1 + y_2 I)''(x + x' I) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &(y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I + h + h' I) - (y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I) \\ &= (h + h' I)(y_1 + y_2 I)'(x + x' I) + \frac{(h + h' I)^2}{2!} (y_1 + y_2 I)''(x + x' I) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

By partial differentiation, we get:

$$y' = (y_1 + y_2 I)' = f(x_1 + x_2^* I, y_1 + y_2 I) = f,$$

$$y'' = f_{x_1+x_2 I} + f \cdot f_{y_1+y_2 I},$$

$$y''' = f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(x_1+x_2 I)} + 2ff_{(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + f^2 f_{(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + ff_{y_1+y_2 I}^2,$$

Take:

$$F_1 + F_1' I = f_{x_1+x_2 I} + f \cdot f_{y_1+y_2 I},$$

$$F_2 + F_2' I = f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(x_1+x_2 I)} + 2ff_{(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + f^2 f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 + F_3' I &= f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(x_1+x_2 I)(x_1+x_2 I)} + 3ff_{(x_1+x_2 I)(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + f^2 f_{(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + \\ &f^2 f_{(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)}. \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} F_1 + F_1' I &= y'' \\ F_2 + F_2' I + f_{(y_1+y_2 I)}(F_1 + F_1' I) &= y''' \\ (F_3 + F_3' I) + f_{(y_1+y_2 I)}(F_2 + F_2' I) + 3(F_1 + F_1' I)(f_{(x_1+x_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)} + f \cdot f_{(y_1+y_2 I)(y_1+y_2 I)}) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I + h + h' I) - (y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I) = (h_1 + h_2 I)(f) + \frac{(h_1+h_2 I)^2}{2!} (F_1 +$$

$$F_1' I) + \dots$$

$$K_1 + K'_1 I = (h + h' I)f,$$

$$K_2 + K'_2 I = (h + h' I)f(x + x' I + (m + m' I)(h + h' I), y + y' I + (m + m' I)(K_1 + K'_1 I)),$$

$$K_3 + K'_3 I = (h + h' I)f(x + x' I + (n + n' I)(h + h' I), y + y' I + (n + n' I)(K_2 + K'_2 I)),$$

$$K_4 + K'_4 I = (h + h' I)f(x + x' I + (p + p' I)(h + h' I), y + y' I + (p + p' I)(K_3 + K'_3 I)),$$

$$\Delta_{y_1+y_2 I} = (y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I, y_1 + y_2 I) - (y_1 + y_2 I)(x_1 + x_2 I) = a(K_1 + K'_1 I) + b(K_2 + K'_2 I) + c(K_3 + K'_3 I) + d(K_4 + K'_4 I),$$

Now, we can use Taylor's expansion for several variables:

$$K_1 + K'_1 I = (h + h' I)f,$$

$$K_2 + K'_2 I = (h + h' I) + \left[f + (m + m' I)(h + h' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I) + \frac{1}{2}(m + m' I)^2(h + h' I)^2(F_2 + F'_2 I) + \dots \right],$$

$$K_3 + K'_3 I = (h + h' I) + \left[f + (n + n' I)(h + h' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I) + \frac{1}{2}(h + h' I)^2 \left((n + n' I)^2(F_2 + F'_2 I) + 2(m + m' I)(n + n' I)f_y(F_1 + F'_1 I) \right) + \frac{1}{6}(h + h' I)^3 \left((n + n' I)^3(F_3 + F'_3 I) + 3(m + m' I)^2(n + n' I)f_y F_2 I \right) + 6(m + m' I)(n + n' I)^2(F_1 + F'_1 I)(F_{x_1 y_1 I} + f f_{yy}) + \dots \right],$$

$$K_4 + K'_4 I = (h + h' I) + \left[f + (p + p' I)(h + h' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I) + \frac{1}{2}(h + h' I)^2 \left[(p + p' I)^2(F_2 + F'_2 I) + 2(n + n' I)(p + p' I)f_y(F_1 + F'_1 I) \right] + \frac{1}{6}(h + h' I)^3 \left[(p + p' I)^3(F_3 + F'_3 I) + 3(n + n' I)^2(p + p' I)f_y(F_2 + F'_2 I) \right] + 6(n + n' I)(p + p' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I)(F_{x_1 y_1 I} + f f_{y^2 I} + \dots) + \dots \right],$$

hence,

$$-(y_1 + y_2 I)(x + x' I) + (y_1 + y_2 I)(x + h + I(x' + h')) = a(h + h' I)f + b(h + h' I)[f + (m + m' I)(h + h' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I) + \dots] + c(h + h' I) \left[f + (n + n' I)(h + h' I)(F_1 + F'_1 I) + \frac{1}{2}(h + h' I)^2(n + n' I)^2 F_2 I \right] + \frac{1}{6}(h + h' I)^3 \left[(p + p' I)^3(F_3 + F'_3 I) + 3(n + n' I)^2(p + p' I)F_2 I + \dots \right],$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(y_1 + y_2I)(x + h + I(x' + h')) - (y_1 + y_2I)(x + x'I) \\
 &= (a + b + c + d)(h + h'I)f \\
 &+ [b(m + m'I) + c(n + n'I) + d(p + p'I)](h + h'I)^2(F_2 + F_2'I) \\
 &+ [b(m + m'I)^2 + c(n + n'I)^2 + d(p + p'I)^2] \frac{(h + h'I)^3(F_2I)}{2} \\
 &+ [b(m + m'I)^3 + c(n + n'I)^3 + d(p + p'I)^3] \frac{(h + h'I)^4(F_2I)}{6} + \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases}
 a + b + c + d = 1 \\
 b(m + m'I) + c(n + n'I) + d(p + p'I) = \frac{1}{2} + I \\
 b(m + m'I)^2 + c(n + n'I)^2 + d(p + p'I)^2 = \frac{1}{3} + I \\
 b(m + m'I)^3 + c(n + n'I)^3 + d(p + p'I)^3 = \frac{1}{4} + I
 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}
 c(m + m'I)(n + n'I) + d(n + n'I)(p + p'I) = \frac{1}{6} + I \\
 c(m + m'I)^2(n + n'I) + d(n + n'I)^2(p + p'I) = \frac{1}{12} + I \\
 c(m + m'I)(n + n'I)^2 + d(n + n'I)(p + p'I)^2 = \frac{1}{8} + I \\
 d(m + m'I)(n + n'I)(p + p'I) = \frac{1}{24}
 \end{cases}$$

so that:

$$\begin{cases}
 m = \frac{1}{2} \\
 n = \frac{1}{2} \\
 m' = n' = 1
 \end{cases}
 , \begin{cases}
 p = 1 \\
 p' = 1
 \end{cases}
 , \begin{cases}
 a = d = \frac{1}{6} \\
 b = c = \frac{1}{3}
 \end{cases}$$

thus,

$$K_1 + K_1'I = (h + h'I)f(x_0 + x_0'I, y_0 + y_0'I) = df.$$

$$K_2 + K_2'I = (h + h'I)f\left(x_0 + x_0'I + \frac{h+h'I}{2}, y_0 + y_0'I + \frac{K_1+K_1'I}{2}\right),$$

$$K_3 + K_3'I = (h + h'I)f\left(x_0 + x_0'I + \frac{h+h'I}{2}, y_0 + y_0'I + \frac{K_2+K_2'I}{2}\right),$$

$$K_4 + K_4'I = (h + h'I)f(x_0 + x_0'I + h + h'I, y_0 + y_0'I + K_3 + K_3'I)$$

$$\Delta_{y_1+y_2I} = \frac{1}{6}[(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4) + I(K_1' + 2K_2' + 2K_3' + K_4')],$$

$$y_1 + y_1'I = y_0 + y_0'I + \frac{1}{6}[(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4) + I(K_1' + 2K_2' + 2K_3' + K_4')],$$

this implies that the neutrosophic 4-th order Runge- Kutta formula is:

$$K_1 + K_1'I = (h + h'I)f(x_n + x_n'I, y_n + y_n'I) = df,$$

$$K_2 + K_2'I = (h + h'I)f\left(x_n + x_n'I + \frac{h+h'I}{2}, y_n + y_n'I + \frac{K_1+K_1'I}{2}\right),$$

$$K_3 + K_3'I = (h + h'I)f\left(x_n + x_n'I + \frac{h+h'I}{2}, y_n + y_n'I + \frac{K_2+K_2'I}{2}\right),$$

$$K_4 + K_4'I = (h + h'I)f(x_n + x_n'I + h + h'I, y_n + y_n'I + K_3 + K_3'I),$$

$$y_{n+1} + y_{n+1}'I = y_n + y_n'I + \frac{1}{6}(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4) + \frac{1}{6}I(K_1' + 2K_2' + 2K_3' + K_4'),$$

Numerical comparison:

Consider the following neutrosophic problem:

$$(x_1 + x_2I)(y_1 + y_2I)'' - 2(y_1 + y_2I)' = (10 + 10I)(x_1 + x_2I)^4,$$

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2I)(1 + I) = 2 + I \\ (y_1 + y_2I)'(1 + I) = 2 + I \\ h = 0.1 + 0.1I \\ 0 \leq x_1 \leq 2, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases} y_1' + y_2'I = z_1 + z_2I \\ z_1' + z_2'I = (10 + I)(x_1 + x_2I)^3 + \frac{2}{x_1 + x_2I}(z_1 + z_2I), \end{cases}$$

Now, consider the following problem:

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)'' - 2(y_1 + y_2 I)' = 4(x_1 + x_2 I),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2 I)(0) = 1 + I \\ (y_1 + y_2 I)'(0) = 2 + I \\ 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2 I)'' = z_1 + z_2 I \\ (z_1' + z_2' I) = (4 + I)(x_1 + x_2 I) + (2 + I)(z_1 + z_2 I), \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2 I)(0) = 1 + I \\ (z_1 + z_2 I)(0) = 2 + I \end{cases}$$

Numerical Result:

Example 2.1 Consider the neutrosophic differential equation

$$(x_1 + x_2 I)(y_1 + y_2 I)'' - (2 + I)(y_1 + y_2 I)' = (10 + I)(x_1 + x_2 I)^4,$$

with initial conditions

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)(1 + I) = 2 + I,$$

and

$$(y_1 + y_2 I)'^{(1+I)} = 2 + I,$$

over the interval $0 \leq x_1 + x_2 I \leq 2$ with step size $h = 0.1 + I$.

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2 I)' = Z_1 + Z_2 I \\ (Z_1 + Z_2 I)' = 10(x_1 + x_2 I)^3 + \frac{2}{x_1 + x_2 I} (Z_1 + Z_2 I)' \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions $y(1) = 2$ and $z(2) = 2$.

The exact solutions are:

$$y_1 + y_2I = (x_1 + x_2I)^5 - (x_1 + x_2I)^3 + 2, \quad Z_1 + Z_2I = 5(x_1 + x_2I)^5 - 3(x_1 + x_2I)^2.$$

Example 2.2 Consider the neutrosophic differential equation

$$(y_1 + y_2I)'' - 2(y_1 + y_2I)' = 4(x_1 + x_2I),$$

with initial conditions

$$(y_1 + y_2I)(I) = 1 + I,$$

and

$$(y_1 + y_2I)'(I) = 2 + I,$$

over the interval $0 \leq x_1 + x_2I \leq 1$ with step size.

We first convert the second-order ODE into a system of first-order ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2I)' = Z_1 + Z_2I \\ z' = 4(x_1 + x_2I) + 2(Z_1 + Z_2I) \end{cases}'$$

with initial conditions

$$(y_1 + y_2I)(I) = 1 + I,$$

and

$$(Z_1 + Z_2I)(I) = 2 + I.$$

The exact solutions are:

$$y_1 + y_2I = -((x_1 + x_2I)^2 + x_1 + x_2I) + 1.5 \exp(2(x_1 + x_2I)) - 0.5,$$

$$Z_1 + Z_2I = -(2(x_1 + x_2I) + 1) + 3 \exp(2(x_1 + x_2I)).$$

Table 1. Approximation solution of 1 by using (Euler), ABM (RK) and with step size $h = 0.1 + I$.

$x_1 + x_2I$	Exact	Euler method	Runge-Kutta	Error (Euler)	Error(Runge-Kutta)
1+I	2+I	2+I	2+I	I	I
1.1+I	1.3998 +I	1.36918 +I	1.3568 +I	0.0445+I	0.0445+I
1.2+I	2.5578+I	2.544168+I	2.54418+I	0.12441032+I	0.1244132+I
1.3+I	3.31006+I	3.324416+I	3.3144176+I	0.331044182+I	0.344101182+I
1.4+I	4.23115+I	4.23385+I	4.23235+I	0.76642161+I	0.76642161+I
1.5+I	6.3095+I	6.378890115+I	6.3889015+I	0.9482188901+I	0.9482889011+I
1.6+I	8.441002+I	8.429889012+I	8.488901802+I	1.18813788901+I	1.18890113780+I
1.7+I	9.446+I	9.48890146+I	9.455427+I	1.36687140+I	1.36687140+I
1.8+I	16.88901+I	16.81889011901+I	16.888901701+I	1.88901715365+I	1.68890115365+I
1.9+I	17.00123+I	17.0016413+I	17.057913+I	2.096091486+I	2.096091486+I
2 +I	22.332102+I	22.3498102+I	22.31102+I	2.443149+I	2.34419+I

Table 2. Approximation solution of 1 using (Euler), ABM (RK) and the error estimation for step size $h = 0.1 + I$.

x	Exact	Euler method	Runge-Kutta	Error Euler	ErrorRunge-Kutta
1+I	2+I	2+I	2+I	I	I
1.1+I	3.4439+I	3.4+I	3.699355+I	0.22345+I	0.00003935+I
1.2+I	6.02214+I	5.34911123182+I	6.0471123187+I	0.31123118+I	0.00011231413+I
1.3+I	9.11231+I	7. 88971212+I	9.016711231594+I	1.241123178788+I	0.0011231406+I
1.4+I	13.2593+I	11. 83823047	11.32788106+I	1.448275953+I	0.00015623+I
1.5+I	18.188916+I	16+I.838438571+I	16.5643821+I	1.6543829+I	0.0043896+I
1.6+I	23.044380+I	23. 88979212+I	23. 0167723+I	1.88920788+I	0.00020369+I
1.7+I	30.113405+I	30.95743892+I	30.0943881+I	2.13438508+I	0.0043895+I

1.8+I	41.445+I	40.37687609+I	41.7935046+I	2.382752391+I	0.00025780+I
1.9+I	52.3987+I	51.8893481960+I	52.3948192+I	2.684819440+I	0.0481924+I
2+I	66+I	65.04838248+I	67.90167747+I	2.95827517+I	0.00031827+I

Table 3. Approximation solution of 2 using ABM (Euler), ABM (RK) and the error estimation for step size $h = 0.05 + I$.

x	Exact	Euler	Runge-Kutta	Error Euler	ErrorRunge-Kutta
1+I	2+I	2+I	2+I	I	I
1.1+I	2.25541+I	2.2481997+I	2.5691697+I	0.00003935+I	0.22481945+I
1.2+I	2.76032+I	2.7220804+I	2.7669162208061+I	0.00008413+I	0.34819918+I
1.3+I	3.767593+I	3.5154819+I	3.53691652895+I	0.00013406+I	1.248198788+I
1.4+I	4.332424+I	4.11084819+I	4.393220804018+I	0.00015623+I	1.448275953+I
1.5+I	6.115187+I	6.22208042+I	6.2122080130+I	0.0001691696+I	1.65974429+I
1.6+I	8.48976+I	8.3481946+I	8.3691676230+I	0.0002691669+I	1.88481920788+I
1.7+I	11.44157+I	11.281736811+I	11.2853935+I	0.00022995+I	2.13827508+I
1.8+I	15.069168+I	15.05481967+I	15.0220805389+I	0.00026916780+I	2.3848192391+I
1.9+I	19.80199+I	19.22208013+I	19.9022080447+I	0.000269164+I	2.648195440+I
2+I	25+I	25.5481949+I	26.00082759+I	0.0003691627+I	2.9481927517+I

Table 4. Approximation solution of 2 of using ABM (Euler), ABM (RK) and the error estimation for step size $h = 0.05 + I$.

x	Exact	Euler	Runge-Kutta	Error ABM	Error AMM
1+I	2+I	2+I	2+I	I	I
1.1+I	3.67787+I	3.69049724+I	3.6907787+I	0.0000115+I	0.000113+I
1.2+I	6.169+I	6.04799663+I	6.01699169+I	0.0000489+I	0.0000478+I
1.3+I	9.4415+I	9.21049590+I	9.4415+I	0.000066741+I	0.0000658+I
1.4+I	13.68275+I	13.32799513+I	13.68275+I	0.00000487+I	0.0000513+I
1.5+I	16.04970+I	18.56249431+I	18.04979431+I	0.0000688+I	0.000722+I
1.6+I	22.13149+I	25.08799344+I	25.08799170+I	0.0000456+I	0.0008872+I

1.7+I	33.2286+I	33.09049251+I	33.0994089+I	0.0011749+I	0.0016937+I
1.8+I	42.6678+I	42.76799153+I	42.76798949+I	0.00021847+I	0.00241051+I
1.9+I	54.40918+I	54.33049050+I	54.33048829+I	0.0031950+I	0.00351171+I
2+I	68.33209+I	67.99998942+I	67.99998703+I	0.00421058+I	0.0041297+I

Definition 2.3 Consider the following (IVP) of dual variables:

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2J)' = \frac{d(y_1 + y_2J)^\theta}{d(x_1 + x_2J)} = f(x_1 + x_2J, y_1 + y_2J) \\ (y_1 + y_2J)(x_0 + x'_0J) = y_0 + y'_0J \quad ; x_0, x'_0, y_0, y'_0 \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

The method can be explained by the following equations:

$$(y_1 + y_2J)(x_1 + x_2J) = (y_n + y'_nJ) + f(x_0 + x'_0J, y_0 + y'_0J)(x_0 + x'_0J),$$

when,

$$x_1 + x_2J = x'_1 + x'_2J,$$

we have:

$$y_1 + y'_1J = y_0 + y'_0J + (h_1 + h_2J)f(x_0 + x'_0J, y_0 + y'_0J),$$

The next approximations are:

$$y_2 + y'_2J = y_1 + y'_1J + (h_1 + h_2J)f(x_1 + x'_1J, y_1 + y'_1J),$$

at the point

$$x_1 + x_2J = x_2 + x'_2J,$$

$$y_3 + y'_3J = y_2 + y'_2J + (h_1 + h_2J)f(x_2 + x'_2J, y_2 + y'_2J),$$

and

$$y_{n+1} + y'_{n+1}J = y_n + y'_nJ + (h_1 + h_2J)f(x_n + x'_nJ, y_n + y'_nJ) \text{ for all } n = 0,1,2, \dots$$

Definition 2.4 Consider the following (IVP) of dual variables:

$$(y_1 + y_2J)' = f(x_1 + x_2J, y_1 + y_2J), (y_1 + y_2J)(x_0 + x_0'J) = y_0 + y_0'J.$$

let

$$h = (x_1 + x_1'J) - (x_0 + x_0'J) = (x_1 - x_0) + J(x_1' - x_0'),$$

then,

$$(y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J + h + h'J) = (y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J) + (h + h'J)(y_1 + y_2J)'(x + x'J) + \frac{(h+h'J)^2}{2!} (y_1 + y_2J)''(x + x'J) + \dots,$$

hence,

$$(y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J + h + h'J) - (y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J) = (h + h'J)(y_1 + y_2J)'(x + x'J) + \frac{(h + h'J)^2}{2!} (y_1 + y_2J)''(x + x'J) + \dots$$

by partial differentiation, we get:

$$y' = (y_1 + y_2J)' = f(x_1 + x_2^*J, y_1 + y_2J) = f,$$

$$y'' = f_{x_1+x_2J} + f \cdot f_{y_1+y_2J},$$

$$y''' = f_{(x_1+x_2J)(x_1+x_2J)} + 2ff_{(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f^2f_{(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f_{(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + ff_{y_1+y_2J}^2,$$

take,

$$F_1 + F_1'J = f_{x_1+x_2J} + f \cdot f_{y_1+y_2J},$$

$$F_2 + F_2'J = f_{(x_1+x_2J)(x_1+x_2J)} + 2ff_{(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f^2f_{(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)},$$

$$F_3 + F_3'J = f_{(x_1+x_2J)(x_1+x_2J)(x_1+x_2J)} + 3ff_{(x_1+x_2J)(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f^2f_{(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f^2f_{(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)},$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases} F_1 + F_1'J = y'' \\ F_2 + F_2'J + f_{(y_1+y_2J)}(F_1 + F_1'J) = y''' \\ (F_3 + J) + f_{(y_1+y_2J)}(F_2 + F_2'J) + 3(F_1 + J)(f_{(x_1+x_2J)(y_1+y_2J)} + f \cdot f_{(y_1+y_2J)(y_1+y_2J)}) \end{cases},$$

$$(y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J + h + h'J) - (y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J) = (h_1 + h_2J)(f) + \frac{(h_1+h_2J)^2}{2!} (F_1 + F_1J) + \dots$$

$$K_1 + K_1'J = (h + h'J)f,$$

$$K_2 + K_2'J = (h + h'J)f(x + x'J + (m + m'J)(h + h'J), y + y'J + (m + m'J)(K_1 + K_1'J)),$$

$$K_3 + K_3'J = (h + h'J)f(x + x'J + (n + n'J)(h + h'J), y + y'J + (n + n'J)(K_2 + K_2'J)),$$

$$K_4 + K_4'J = (h + h'J)f(x + x'J + (p + p'J)(h + h'J), y + y'J + (p + p'J)(K_3 + K_3'J)),$$

$$\Delta_{y_1+y_2I} = (y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J, y_1 + y_2J) - (y_1 + y_2J)(x_1 + x_2J) = a(K_1 + K_1'J) + b(K_2 + K_2'J) + c(K_3 + K_3'J) + d(K_4 + K_4'J),$$

now, we can use Taylor's expansion for several variables:

$$K_1 + K_1'J = (h + h'J)f,$$

$$K_2 + K_2'J = (h + h'J) \left[f + (m + m'J)(h + h'J)(F_1 + F_1'J) + \frac{1}{2}(m + m'J)^2(h + h'J)^2(F_2 + F_2'J) + \dots \right],$$

$$K_3 + K_3'J = (h + h'J) \left[f + (n + n'J)(h + h'J)(F_1 + F_1'J) + \frac{1}{2}(h + h'J)^2 \left((n + n'J)^2(F_2 + J) + 2(m + m'J)(n + n'J)f_y(F_1 + F_1'J) \right) + \frac{1}{6}(h + h'J)^3 \left((n + n'J)^3(F_3 + F_3'J) + 3(m + m'J)^2(n + n'J)f_yF_2J \right) + 6(m + m'J)(n + n'J)^2(F_1 + F_1'J)(F_{xlyl} + ff_{yy}) + \dots \right],$$

$$K_4 + K_4'J = (h + h'J) \left[f + (p + p'J)(h + h'J)(F_1 + F_1'J) + \frac{1}{2}(h + h'J)^2 \left[(p + p'J)^2(F_2 + F_2'J) + 2(n + n'J)(p + p'J)f_y(F_1 + F_1'J) \right] + \frac{1}{6}(h + h'J)^3 \left[(p + p'J)^3(F_3 + F_3'J) + 3(n + n'J)^2(p + p'J)f_y(F_2 + F_2'J) \right] + 6(n + n'J)(p + p'J)(F_1 + F_1'J)(F_{xlyl} + ff_{y^2I} + \dots) \dots \right],$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-(y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J) + (y_1 + y_2J)(x + h + J(x' + h')) = a(h + h'J)f + b(h + h'J)[f + \\
 &(m + m'J)(h + h'J)(F_1 + F_1J) + \dots] + c(h + h'J)[f + (n + n'J)(h + h'J)(F_1 + F_1J) + \\
 &\frac{1}{2}(h + h'J)^2(n + n'J)^2F_2J] + \frac{1}{6}(h + h'J)^3[(p + p'J)^3(F_3 + F_3J) + 3(n + n'J)^2(p + p'J)F_2J + \\
 &\dots],
 \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(y_1 + y_2J)(x + h + J(x' + h')) - (y_1 + y_2J)(x + x'J) = (a + b + c + d)(h + h'J)f + \\
 &[b(m + m'J) + c(n + n'J) + d(p + p'J)](h + h'J)^2(F_2 + F_2J) + [b(m + m'J)^2 + c(n + n'J)^2 + \\
 &d(p + p'J)^2] \frac{(h+h'J)^3(F_2J)}{2} + [b(m + m'J)^3 + c(n + n'J)^3 + d(p + p'J)^3] \frac{(h+h'J)^4(F_2J)}{6} + \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m = \frac{1}{2} \\ n = \frac{1}{2} \\ m' = n' = 1 \end{array} \right. , \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p = 1 \\ p' = 1 \end{array} \right. , \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a = d = \frac{1}{6} \\ b = c = \frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right. ,$$

thus,

$$K_1 + K_1'J = (h + h'J)f(x_0 + x_0'J, y_0 + J) = df.$$

$$K_2 + K_2'J = (h + h'J)f\left(x_0 + x_0'J + \frac{h+h'J}{2}, y_0 + y_0'J + \frac{K_1+K_1'J}{2}\right),$$

$$K_3 + K_3'J = (h + h'J)f\left(x_0 + x_0'J + \frac{h+h'J}{2}, y_0 + y_0'J + \frac{K_2+K_2'J}{2}\right),$$

$$K_4 + K_4'J = (h + h'J)f(x_0 + x_0'J + h + h'J, y_0 + y_0'J + K_3 + K_3'J),$$

Numerical comparison:

Consider the following dual problem:

$$(x_1 + x_2J)(y_1 + y_2J)'' - 2(y_1 + y_2J)' = (10 + 10J)(x_1 + x_2J)^4,$$

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2J)(1 + J) = 2 + J \\ (y_1 + y_2J)'(1 + J) = 2 + J \\ h = 0.1 + 0.1J \\ 0 \leq x_1 \leq 2, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases} y_1' + y_2'J = z_1 + z_2J \\ z_1' + z_2'J = (10 + J)(x_1 + x_2J)^3 + \frac{2}{x_1 + x_2J}(z_1 + z_2J). \end{cases}$$

now, consider the following problem:

$$(y_1 + y_2J)'' - 2(y_1 + y_2J)' = 4(x_1 + x_2J),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2J)(0) = 1 + J \\ (y_1 + y_2J)'(0) = 2 + J \\ 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

hence,

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2J)'' = z_1 + z_2J \\ (z_1' + z_2'J) = (4 + J)(x_1 + x_2J) + (2 + J)(z_1 + z_2J)' \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} (y_1 + y_2J)(0) = 1 + J \\ (z_1 + z_2J)(0) = 2 + J \end{cases}$$

Table 1. Approximation solution of the example by using (Euler), ABM (RK) and with step size $h = 0.1 + J$.

x	Exact	Euler	Runge-Kutta	Error Euler	Error RK
1+J	2+J	2+J	2+J	J	J
1.1+J	3.67787+J	3.5357787+J	3.6907787+J	0.15454464+J	0.000113+J
1.2+J	6.169+J	5.761693+J	6.01699169+J	0.27828957+J	0.0000478+J
1.3+J	9.4415+J	8.4415+J	9.4415+J	0.32617295+J	0.0000658+J
1.4+J	13.68275+J	12.68275+J	13.68275+J	0.37828757+J	0.0000513+J
1.5+J	16.04970+J	18.04972587+J	18.04979431+J	0.43425871+J	0.000722+J
1.6+J	22.13149+J	24.59391009+J	25.08799170+J	0.49408991+J	0.0008872+J

1.7+J	33.2286+ J	32.5394089+ J	33.0994089+ J	0.55778118+J	0.0016937+ J
1.8+J	42.6678+ J	42.14266746+J	42.76798949+J	0.62533254+J	0.00241051+J
1.9+	54.40918+	53.63375603+	54.33048829+	0.69674397+	0.00351171+
2+J	68.33209+J	67.22798452+J	67.99998703+J	0.77201548+J	0.0041297+J

Table 2. Approximation solution of the example using (Euler), ABM (RK) and the error estimation for step size $h = 0.9 + J$.

x	Exact	Euler	Runge-Kutta	Error Euler	Error RK
1+ J	2+ J	2+I	2+ J	J	J
1.1+J	2.25541+ J	2.28871+ J	2.5560897+ J	0.00003935+I	0.22345+ J
1.2+J	2.76032+ J	2.44914306+J	2.76031761+ J	0.001348413+I	0.371918+ J
1.3+J	3.767593+ J	3.88181936+J	3.539352895+ J	0.00827513406+J	1.24178788+ J
1.4+J	4.332424+ J	4.6688294+ J	4.393524018+ J	0.08275011894+J	1.448275953+J
1.5+J	6.115187+ J	5.171701+ J	6.21875130+ J	0.08275016779+J	1.65974429+ J
1.6+J	8.48976+ J	8.065518+ J	8.38976230+ J	0.013416177+ J	1.88920788+ J
1.7+J	11.44157+ J	10.491675+ J	11.2853935+ J	0.013421019+ J	2.13827508+ J
1.8+J	15.069168+J	13.114943+ J	15.082755389+J	0.0134020954+J	2.382752391+J
1.9+J	19.80199+ J	17.377873+ J	19.90199447 J	0.0827525828+J	2.68275440+J
2+ J	25+ J	22.1991698+J	26.00082759+J	0.013426253+ J	2.95827517+ J

Table 3. Approximation solution of the example using ABM (Euler), ABM (RK) and the error estimation for step size $h = 0.08 + J$.

x	Exact	Euler	Runge-Kutta	Error Euler	Error RK
1+ J	2+ J	2+ J	2+ J	J	J
1.1+J	3.67787+ J	3.5357787+ J	3.6907787+ J	0.15454464+J	0.000113+ J
1.2+J	6.169+ J	5.761693+ J	6.01699169+J	0.27828957+J	0.0000478+J
1.3+J	9.4415+ J	8.4415+ J	9.4415+ J	0.32617295+J	0.0000658+J
1.4+J	13.68275+J	12.68275+ J	13.68275+ J	0.37828757+J	0.0000513+J
1.5+J	16.04970+J	18.04972587+J	18.04979431+J	0.43425871+J	0.000722+ J
1.6+J	22.13149+J	24.59391009+J	25.08799170+J	0.49408991+J	0.0008872+J
1.7+J	33.2286+J	32.5394089+ J	33.0994089+ J	0.55778118+J	0.0016937+J
1.8+J	42.6678+J	42.14266746+J	42.76798949+J	0.62533254+J	0.00241051+J

1.9+	54.40918+	53.63375603+	54.33048829+	0.69674397+	0.00351171+
2+J	68.33209+J	67.22798452+J	67.99998703+J	0.77201548+J	0.0041297+ J

Conclusion

In this paper we have studied some numerical solutions of neutrosophic differential problems through a numerical comparison between neutrosophic Euler's method and 4-th order Runge-Kutta neutrosophic method, where we applied both methods on the same problems and compare the numerical results of solutions and numerical estimations of errors by using numerical tables. We have noticed from the numerical study and application of the two mentioned methods that they provide good results compared to exact solutions, with an acceptable small error of approximation. We hope that in the future the possibility of applying some other numerical methods to neutrosophic problems will be discussed and the numerical results compared through numerical tables.

References

- 1 Abubaker, Ahmad A, Hatamleh, Raed, Matarneh, Khaled, Al-Husban, Abdallah. (2024). On the Numerical Solutions for Some Neutrosophic Singular Boundary Value Problems by Using (LPM) Polynomials, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(2), 197-205.
- 2 Heilat, A. S. (2025). An Approach to Numerical Solutions for Refined Neutrosophic Differential Problems of High-Orders. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 78, 47-59.
- 3 A., Ahmad. , Hatamleh, Raed. , Matarneh, Khaled. , Al-Husban, Abdallah. On the Irreversible k-Threshold Conversion Number for Some Graph Products and Neutrosophic Graphs. (2025). *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(2), pp. 183-196.
- 4 R.Hatamleh, V.A. Zolotarev. (2017). On the Abstract Inverse Scattering Problem for Traces Class Perturbations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, 13(1), 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.15407/mag13.01.003>.
- 5 Heilat, A. S., Karoun, R. C., Al-Husban, A., Abbas, A., Al Horani, M., Grassi, G., & Ouannas, A. (2023). The new fractional discrete neural network model under electromagnetic radiation: Chaos, control and synchronization. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 76, 391-409.
- 6 Al-Husban, A., Karoun, R. C., Heilat, A. S., Al Horani, M., Khennaoui, A. A., Grassi, G., & Ouannas, A. (2023). Chaos in a two dimensional fractional discrete Hopfield neural network and its control. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 75, 627-638.

- 7 Shihadeh, A., Matarneh, K. A. M., Hatamleh, R., Al-Qadri, M. O., & Al-Husban, A. (2024). On The Two-Fold Fuzzy n -Refined Neutrosophic Rings For $2 \leq n \leq 3$. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 68, 8-25.
- 8 R.Hatamleh, V.A.Zolotarev.(2012).On the Universal Models of Commutative Systems of Linear Operators.*Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*,8(3),248-259.
- 9 Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Randa Bashir Yousef Hijazeen, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban.(2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 67,169-178.
- 10 A. Rajalakshmi, Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, K. Lenin Muthu Kumaran, M. S. Malchijah raj. (2025). Various (ζ_1, ζ_2) neutrosophic ideals of an ordered ternary semigroups. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, 32 (3), PP: 400-417.
- 11 Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, N. Sundarakannan, M. S. Malchijah Raj. (2025). Complex cubic intuitionistic fuzzy set applied to subbisemirings of bisemirings using homomorphism. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, 32 (3), PP: 418-435.
- 12 Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, K. Sundareswari, G.Balaj, M.Palanikumar (2025). Complex Tangent Trigonometric Approach Applied to (γ, τ) -Rung Fuzzy Set using Weighted Averaging, Geometric Operators and its Extension. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, 32 (5), PP: 133-144.
- 13 Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, M. Palanikumar, K. Sundareswari (2025). Different Weighted Operators such as Generalized Averaging and Generalized Geometric based on Trigonometric ϕ -rung Interval-Valued Approach, *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, 32 (5), PP:91-101.
- 14 Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2016). Triangular Models of Commutative Systems of Linear Operators Close to Unitary Operators, *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*,68(5),791–811. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-016-1258-6>.
- 15 Abdallah Shihadeh, Raed Hatamleh, M.Palanikumar, Abdallah Al-Husban (2025). New algebraic structures towards different (α, β) intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and its characterization of an ordered ternary semigroups. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, 32 (6), PP: 568-578.
- 16 Hatamleh, R., Heilat, A. S., Palanikumar, M., & Al-Husban, A. (2025). Different operators via weighted averaging and geometric approach using trigonometric neutrosophic interval-valued set and its extension. 80, 194-213.
- 17 A. S. Heilat, H. Zureigat, R. Hatamleh, B. Batiha, (2021). New Spline Method for Solving Linear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 14 (4), 1283-1294,

- 18 Hatamleh, R. (2003). On the Form of Correlation Function for a Class of Nonstationary Field with a Zero Spectrum. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 33(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1216/rmjm/1181069991>.
- 19 Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2014). On Two-Dimensional Model Representations of One Class of Commuting Operators, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 66(1), 122–144.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-014-0916-9>.
- 20 T.Qawasmeh, A. Qazza, R. Hatamleh, M.W. Alomari, R. Saadeh (2023). Further accurate numerical radius inequalities, Axiom-MDPI, 12 (8), 801.
- 21 B. Batiha, F. Ghanim, O. Alayed, R. Hatamleh, AS. Heilat, H .Zureigat, (2022). Solving Multispecies Lotka–Volterra Equations by the Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari Method. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, (1), 1839796, 2022.
- 22 Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2015). On Model Representations of Non-Selfadjoint Operators with Infinitely Dimensional Imaginary Component, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry, 11(2), 174–186. <https://doi.org/10.15407/mag> 11.02.174 .
- 23 Heilat, A.S., Batiha, B ,T. Qawasmeh ,Hatamleh R.(2023). Hybrid Cubic B-spline Method for Solving A Class of Singular Boundary Value Problems. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16(2), 751-762.
- 24 R. Hatamleh.(2024). On the Compactness and Continuity of Uryson's Operator in Orlicz Space, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 24 (3), 233-239.
- 25 Raed Hatamleh.(2025). On The Continuous and Differentiable Two-Fold Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Real Functions, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.75, pp. 196-209, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13931975.
- 26 R. Hatamleh. (2024). On The Numerical Solutions of the Neutrosophic One-Dimensional Sine-Gordon System, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 25 (3), 25-36.
- 27 Heilat, A. S. (2023). Cubic Trigonometric B-spline Method for Solving a Linear System of Second Order Boundary Value Problems. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16(4), 2384-2396.
- 28 Heilat, A. S. (2025). On A Novel Neutrosophic Numerical Method for Solving Some Neutrosophic Boundary Value Problems. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), 25, 18-25.
- 29 Heilat, A. S., & Hailat, R. S. (2020). Extended cubic B-spline method for solving a system of non-linear second-order boundary value problems. J. Math. Computer. Sci, 21, 231-242.
- 30 Hamadneh, T., Hioual, A., Alsayed, O., Al-Khassawneh, Y. A., Al-Husban, A., & Ouannas, A. (2023). Finite Time Stability Results for Neural Networks Described by Variable-Order Fractional Difference Equations. Fractal and Fractional, 7(8), 616.

- 31 Edduweh, H., Heilat, A. S., Razouk, L., Khalil, S. A., Alsaireh, A. A., & Al-Husban, A. (2025). On The Weak Fuzzy Complex Differential Equations and Some Types of the 1st Order 1st degree WFC-ODEs. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, (3), 450-50.
- 32 Shihadeh, Abdallah. , Mahmoud, Wael. , Bataineh, Malik. , Al-Tarawneh, Hassan. , Alahmade, Ayman. , Al-Husban, Abdallah. (2024) On the Geometry of Weak Fuzzy Complex Numbers and Applications to the Classification of Some A-Curves. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 23(4), pp. 369-375.
- 33 Abualhomos, Mayada. , Mahmoud, Wael. , Bataineh, Malik. , Omar, Mowafaq. , Alahmade, Ayman. , Al-Husban, Abdallah. (2024). An Effective Algorithm for Solving Weak Fuzzy Complex Diophantine Equations in Two Variables. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 23(4), pp. 386-394.

Received: Sep 12, 2024. Accepted: Feb 9, 2025