



# Reciprocal fraction function tools used for $\mathfrak{t}$ -rung Quadripartitioned neutrosophic sets

M.Palanikumar<sup>1</sup>, Nasreen Kausar<sup>2</sup> and Dina Said<sup>(3,\*)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai-602105, India; palanimaths86@gmail.com.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Balikesir University, 10145 Balikesir, Turkey; nasreen.kausar@balikesir.edu.tr

<sup>3</sup> College of Business Administration, American University of the Middle East, Egaila 54200, Kuwait; dina.said@aum.edu.kw

\*Correspondence: dina.said@aum.edu.kw

**Abstract.** This paper presents a new method for creating a  $\mathfrak{t}$ -rung reciprocal fraction function. We introduce quadripartitioned neutrosophic sets (QNSS). The reciprocal fraction function applied to quadripartitioned neutrosophic sets are the neutrosophic sets and  $\mathfrak{t}$ -rung neutrosophic sets. This article will examine weighted geometric, quadripartitioned neutrosophic set weighted averaging, generalized weighted averaging, and generalized weighted geometric operators. Boundedness, idempotency, monotonicity and commutativity are also discussed.

**Keywords:** weighted averaging, geometric, generalized averaging, and generalized geometric operators.

## 1. Introduction

Numerous uncertain theories, such as fuzzy set (FS) [19], intuitionistic FS (IFS) [3], Pythagorean FS (PFS) [18], and spherical FS (SFS) [1], have been created to address the ambiguities. Subsequently, Atanassov introduced the idea of an IFS that is restricted to one group according to non-membership degree (NMG) [3]. An FS is a group of objects in a given set that have a membership degree (MG) ranging from 0 to 1. The decision-making (DM) process could only be aware of one issue if the MG and NMG scores are both higher than one. Yager [18] defines PFS as an IFS with a value more than one and a square sum of MG and NMG less than one. According to Cuong et al. [4], the image FS concept is composed of three

characteristics: positive MG, neutral MG, and negative MG. It therefore has certain advantages over PFS and IFS. Liu et al. investigated an image FS extension employing AOs [7]. Liu et al. have described an AO-based generalized PFS and its applications [8]. AO characteristics based on interval values and PFS [12]. Liu et al. [7] were the first to present the AO-based image FS. The total of the positive, neutral, and negative MG scores in the DM approach challenge never surpasses 1. Ashraf et al. [1] established the idea of SFS, which ensures that the square sum of the positive, neutral, and negative degrees does not exceed 1. Fatmaa et al. investigated the idea of SFS [5]. Using AOs and distance measurements, Zeng et al. [20] explained how to calculate ordered weighted distances. Yager [18] produced several averaging and geometric AOs under PFS weighted, ordered weighted, and weighted power conditions. Peng et al. examined a basic PFS based on the features of AOs [13]. Ashraf et al. [2] state that fuzzy spherical Dombi AOs were developed. The concepts of SFSs and T-SFSs [15, 16]. Temel et al. [14] discussed the use of Muirhead power normal SFS to MADM. MADM is used by Peng et al. [11] to investigate neutrosophic sets using TOPSIS and MABAC techniques. The TOPSIS-based extension of PFS was discussed by Zhang and associates [21]. A range of algebraic structures and aggregation techniques with possible uses were recently covered by Palanikumar et al. [9] and [10]. Gulistan [6] et al. discussed the concept of Einstein AOs under  $q$ -rung hypersoft sets. Voskoglou [17] et al. introduced the notion of  $q$ -rung NSSs and topological spaces.

## 2. Operations for $q$ -rung QNSN

The fractional part of  $\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is a real number, may be expressed as follows, assuming that  $f$  is a fractional part function: Additionally,  $\mathcal{H}[\eta] = \langle \eta \rangle = \eta - \{\eta\}$ . The difference between a real number and its largest integer value, which is determined by the biggest integer function, may also be expressed as a fractional part function. If  $\eta$  is an integer, then the fractional component of  $\eta = 0$ .  $\mathcal{H}[\eta] = \frac{1}{\eta}$  is a reciprocal fractional part function, assuming its existence. It is well known that whenever  $\eta$  is an integer, its fractional part equals 0. Consequently,  $l$  cannot be an integer in order for  $\mathcal{H}[\eta] = \frac{1}{\eta}$  to be defined.  $\mathcal{H}[\eta] = \frac{1}{\eta}$  is its domain, and it encompasses all real numbers except integers. The  $q$ -rung QNSN and its operations were developed after we discussed the idea of the  $q$ -rung quadripartitioned neutrosophic number ( $q$ -rung QNSN). The following characteristics make it easy to identify the reciprocal functions.

(i) The form of reciprocal functions is a fraction. Real numbers make up the numerator, and numbers, variables, or polynomials make up the denominator. (ii) A reciprocal of  $x$  is equal to  $1/x$ . The domain and range of the reciprocal function  $1/x$  are the sets of all real numbers other than zero and zero, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** The  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung QNSS  $\tau = \left\{ \epsilon, \left[ \left\langle \langle \Xi_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle, \langle \Lambda_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle, \langle \Omega_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle \right\rangle \right] \mid \epsilon \in \mathcal{X} \right\}$ , put  $\langle \Xi_\tau \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_\tau \rangle, \langle \Lambda_\tau \rangle, \langle \Omega_\tau \rangle : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  denote the TMG, contradiction MG, unknown MG and false MG of  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{X}$  to  $\tau$ , respectively and  $0 \leq \langle \Xi_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Upsilon_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Lambda_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Omega_\tau \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \leq 1$ . For convenience,  $\tau = \left[ \langle \Xi_\tau \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_\tau \rangle, \langle \Lambda_\tau \rangle, \langle \Omega_\tau \rangle \right]$  is represent a  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung QNSN.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\tau = [\langle \Xi_\tau \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_\tau \rangle, \langle \Lambda_\tau \rangle, \langle \Omega_\tau \rangle]$ ,  $\tau_1 = [\langle \Xi_1 \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle, \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \Omega_1 \rangle]$  and  $\tau_2 = [\langle \Xi_2 \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle, \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle, \langle \Omega_2 \rangle]$  be any three  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung QNSNs, and  $\rho > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad \tau_1 \uplus \tau_2 &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{\langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}}, \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{\langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}}, \\ \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \langle \Omega_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \end{array} \right], \\
 (2) \quad \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{\langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} - \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}}, \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{\langle \Omega_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} - \langle \Omega_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \end{array} \right] \\
 (3) \quad \rho \cdot \tau &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Xi \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho}, \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho}, \\ \langle \langle \Lambda \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho, \langle \langle \Omega \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho \end{array} \right], \\
 (4) \quad \tau^\rho &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \langle \langle \Xi \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho, \langle \langle \Upsilon \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho, \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Lambda \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho}, \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Omega \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^\rho} \end{array} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. AOs based on $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSN

Here we describe the AOs using  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWA,  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWG, G  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWA, and G  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWG.

#### 3.1. $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung QNSWA

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSNs,  $W = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle$  be the weight of  $\tau_i$ ,  $\gamma_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = 1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \tau_i$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $\langle \mathfrak{h} \rangle$ QNSWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \end{array} \right].$$

**Proof.** If  $n = 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle = \gamma_1 \tau_1 \uplus \gamma_2 \tau_2$ , put

$$\gamma_1 \tau_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_1}}, \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \\ \langle \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_1}, \langle \langle \Omega_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \rangle^{\gamma_1} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\gamma_2\tau_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2}}, \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2}} \\ \langle \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2}, \langle \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \end{array} \right].$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1\tau_1 \cup \gamma_2\tau_2 &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{\frac{\langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \rangle + \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \rangle}{- \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \rangle \cdot \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \rangle}}, \\ \sqrt[\natural]{\frac{\langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \rangle + \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \rangle}{- \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \rangle \cdot \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \rangle}}, \\ \langle \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \langle \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \\ \langle \langle \Omega_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \langle \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \langle | - \langle \Xi_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2}}, \\ \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2}}, \\ \langle \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \langle \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \\ \langle \langle \Omega_1 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \langle \langle \Omega_2 \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_2} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^2 \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^2 \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \\ \square_{i=1}^2 \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \square_{i=1}^2 \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \end{array} \right].$$

Also,  $n \geq 3$ ,

Thus,  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_l \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \\ \square_{i=1}^l \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \square_{i=1}^l \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \end{array} \right].$$

If  $n = l + 1$ , then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_l, \tau_{l+1} \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\natural]{\frac{\Psi_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \rangle + \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \rangle}{- \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \rangle \cdot \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Xi_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \rangle}}, \\ \sqrt[\natural]{\frac{\Psi_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \rangle + \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \rangle}{- \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \rangle \cdot \langle | - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \rangle}}, \\ \square_{i=1}^l \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \cdot \langle \langle \Lambda_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \\ \square_{i=1}^l \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_i} \cdot \langle \langle \Omega_{l+1} \rangle^\natural \rangle^{\gamma_{l+1}} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[ \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \right. \\ \left. \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right].$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \tau$

**Proof.** Since  $\langle \Xi_i \rangle = \langle \Xi \rangle$ ,  $\langle \Upsilon_i \rangle = \langle \Upsilon \rangle$ ,  $\langle \Lambda_i \rangle = \langle \Lambda \rangle$  and  $\langle \Omega_i \rangle = \langle \Omega \rangle$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = 1$ . Now,  $\langle \natural \rangle$ QNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$

$$= \left[ \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \right. \\ \left. \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right] \\ = \left[ \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i}}, \right. \\ \left. \langle \langle \Lambda \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i}, \langle \langle \Omega \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i}, \right] \\ = \left[ \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi \rangle^{\natural} \rangle}, \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon \rangle^{\natural} \rangle}, \right. \\ \left. \langle \langle \Lambda \rangle^{\natural} \rangle, \langle \langle \Omega \rangle^{\natural} \rangle \right] \\ = \tau.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$ , put  $\underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle} = \min \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle} = \max \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} = \min \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} = \max \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\underbrace{\langle \Lambda \rangle}_{\langle \Lambda \rangle} = \min \langle \Lambda_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Lambda \rangle} = \max \langle \Lambda_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\underbrace{\langle \Omega \rangle}_{\langle \Omega \rangle} = \min \langle \Omega_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Omega \rangle} = \max \langle \Omega_{ij} \rangle$  and put  $1 \leq i \leq n, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_j$ . Then,  $[\underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle}, \underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}, \underbrace{\langle \Lambda \rangle}_{\langle \Lambda \rangle}, \underbrace{\langle \Omega \rangle}_{\langle \Omega \rangle}]$

$$\leq \langle \natural \rangle$$
QNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$  \\  $\leq [\widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle}, \widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}, \langle \Lambda \rangle, \langle \Omega \rangle].$

(Boundedness property).

**Proof.** Since,  $\underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle} = \min \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle} = \max \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle$  and  $\underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle} \leq \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle \leq \widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle}$ .  
 Now,  $\underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle} = \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \underbrace{\langle \Xi \rangle}_{\langle \Xi \rangle} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \leq \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_{ij} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}}$   
 $\leq \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} = \widehat{\langle \Xi \rangle}$ .  
 Since,  $\underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} = \min \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} = \max \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle$  and  $\underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} \leq \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle \leq \widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}$ .  
 Now,  $\underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} = \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \underbrace{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}_{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \leq \sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_{ij} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \leq$   
 $\sqrt[\natural]{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} = \widehat{\langle \Upsilon \rangle}$ .

Since,  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \min\langle\Lambda_{ij}\rangle^{\natural}$ ,  $\overbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \max\langle\Lambda_{ij}\rangle^{\natural}$  and  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} \leq \langle\Lambda_{ij}\rangle^{\natural} \leq \overbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}$ .

We have,  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \leq \square_{i=1}^n \langle\langle\Lambda_{ij}\rangle\rangle^{\natural} \leq \square_{i=1}^n \overbrace{\langle\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} = \overbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}$ .

Since,  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \min\langle\Omega_{ij}\rangle^{\natural}$ ,  $\overbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \max\langle\Omega_{ij}\rangle^{\natural}$  and  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} \leq \langle\Omega_{ij}\rangle^{\natural} \leq \overbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}$ .

We have,  $\underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} = \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \leq \square_{i=1}^n \langle\langle\Omega_{ij}\rangle\rangle^{\natural} \leq \square_{i=1}^n \overbrace{\langle\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} = \overbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \underbrace{\langle\langle\Xi\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \underbrace{\langle\langle\Upsilon\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 \right] \\ & \quad + 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^2 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^2 \\ & \leq \left[ \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Xi_{ij}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{ij}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 1 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle\langle\Lambda_{ij}\rangle\rangle^{\natural} \rangle^2 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle\langle\Omega_{ij}\rangle\rangle^{\natural} \rangle^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left[ \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \overbrace{\langle\langle\Xi\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \overbrace{\langle\langle\Upsilon\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \rangle} \right\rangle^2 + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^2 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\left[ \underbrace{\langle\langle\Xi\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \underbrace{\langle\langle\Upsilon\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} \right] \leq \langle \natural \rangle QNWA \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \leq \left[ \overbrace{\langle\langle\Xi\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \overbrace{\langle\langle\Upsilon\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \underbrace{\langle\langle\Lambda\rangle\rangle^{\natural}}, \underbrace{\langle\langle\Omega\rangle\rangle^{\natural}} \right]$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle\Xi_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Lambda_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Omega_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle]$  and  $\varpi_i = [\langle\Xi_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Lambda_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle, \langle\Omega_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle]$ , be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWAs. For any  $i$ , if there is  $\langle\Xi_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^2 \leq \langle\Xi_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^2$  and  $\langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^2 \leq \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^2$   $\langle\Lambda_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^2 \geq \langle\Lambda_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^2$  and  $\langle\Omega_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^2 \geq \langle\Omega_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^2$  or  $\tau_i \leq \varpi_i$ . Prove that  $\langle \natural \rangle QNWA \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \leq \langle \natural \rangle QNWA \langle \varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n \rangle$ , put  $\langle i = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle, \langle j = 1, 2, \dots, i_j \rangle$  (monotonicity property).

**Proof.** For any  $i$ ,  $\langle\Xi_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^2 \leq \langle\Xi_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^2$ .

Therefore,  $1 - \langle\Xi_{\alpha_i}\rangle^2 \geq 1 - \langle\Xi_{\beta_i}\rangle^2$ .

Hence,  $\square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Xi_{\alpha_i}\rangle^2 \rangle^{\gamma_i} \geq \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Xi_{\beta_i}\rangle^2 \rangle^{\gamma_i}$

and  $\sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Xi_{\alpha_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle} \leq \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Xi_{\beta_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle}$ .

For any  $i$ ,  $\langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_{ij}}\rangle^{\natural} \leq \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_{ij}}\rangle^{\natural}$ .

Therefore,  $1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_i}\rangle^{\natural} \geq 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_i}\rangle^{\natural}$ .

Hence,  $\square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \geq \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}$ .

This implies that  $\sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\alpha_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle}^{\gamma_i}$

$\leq \sqrt[{\natural}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle\Upsilon_{\beta_i}\rangle^{\natural} \rangle}^{\gamma_i}$ .

For any  $i$ ,  $\langle \Lambda_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle^2 \geq \langle \Lambda_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle^2$  and  $\langle \Lambda_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle^{\natural} \geq \langle \Lambda_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle^{\natural}$ .  
 Therefore,  $-\langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Lambda_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \leq -\langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Lambda_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle \rangle^{\natural}$ .

For any  $i$ ,  $\langle \Omega_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle^2 \geq \langle \Omega_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle^2$  and  $\langle \Omega_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle^{\natural} \geq \langle \Omega_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle^{\natural}$ .  
 Therefore,  $1 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega_{\alpha_{ij}} \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \leq 1 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega_{\beta_{ij}} \rangle \rangle^{\natural}$ .

$$\leq \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sqrt{\left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_{ti} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2 + \left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_{\alpha_i} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2} \right\rangle^2 \\ & + 1 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Lambda_{\alpha_i} \rangle \rangle^2 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega_{\alpha_i} \rangle \rangle^2 \end{aligned} \right] \\ \leq \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sqrt{\left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Xi_{hi} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2 + \left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Upsilon_{\beta_i} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2} \right\rangle^2 \\ & + 1 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Lambda_{\beta_i} \rangle \rangle^2 - \langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega_{\beta_i} \rangle \rangle^2 \end{aligned} \right].$$

Hence,  $\langle q \rangle QNWA \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \leq \langle q \rangle QNWA \langle \varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n \rangle$ .

### 3.2. $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWG

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\tau_i = \left[ \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle \right]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \square_{i=1}^n \tau_i^{\gamma_i}$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $\tau_i = \left[ \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle \right]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{aligned} & \square_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \square_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}, \\ & \sqrt{\left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2 + \left( 1 - \square_{i=1}^n \langle 1 - \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right)^2} \end{aligned} \right].$$

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $\tau_i = \left[ \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle \right]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs and all are equal. Then  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \tau$ .

It has other properties, including boundedness and monotonicity, as well as having QNWG.

### 3.3. Generalized $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWA (G $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWA)

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $\tau_i = \left[ \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle \right]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSN. Then G  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \left\langle \uplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \tau_i^{\rho} \right\rangle^{1/\rho}$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $G$   $\mathfrak{h}$ -rung RFFQNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle^{1/\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle^{1/\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{1/\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{1/\mathfrak{h}} \end{array} \right].$$

**Proof.** We can prove this first by demonstrating that,

$$\Psi_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \tau_i^\rho = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}}, \\ \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}, \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \langle 1 - \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i}, \end{array} \right].$$

Put  $n = 2, \gamma_1 \tau_1 \Psi \gamma_2 \tau_2$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \left\langle \langle \Xi_1 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_2 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_1 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_2 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_2 \rangle \rangle^{\mathfrak{h}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \Lambda_1 \rangle \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \Lambda_2 \rangle \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}, \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \Omega_1 \rangle \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1} \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\mathfrak{h}]{1 - \left\langle 1 - \langle \Omega_2 \rangle \right\rangle^{\mathfrak{h}}} \right\rangle^{\gamma_1}, \end{array} \right]$$



$$= \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^2 \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} , \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^2 \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} , \\ \square_{i=1}^2 \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle , \square_{i=1}^2 \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \end{array} \right].$$

Hence,

$$\Psi_{i=1}^l \gamma_i \tau_i^{\rho} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} , \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \\ \square_{i=1}^l \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \square_{i=1}^l \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \end{array} \right].$$

If  $n = l + 1$ , then  $\Psi_{i=1}^l \gamma_i \tau_i^{\rho} + \gamma_{l+1} \tau_{l+1}^{\rho} = \Psi_{i=1}^{l+1} \gamma_i \tau_i^{\rho}$ .

Now,  $\Psi_{i=1}^l \gamma_i \tau_i^{\rho} + \gamma_{l+1} \tau_{l+1}^{\rho} = \gamma_1 \tau_1^{\rho} \Psi \gamma_2 \tau_2^{\rho} \Psi \dots \Psi \gamma_l \tau_l^{\rho} \Psi \gamma_{l+1} \tau_{l+1}^{\rho}$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Xi_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle , \\ - \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Xi_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Xi_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle , \\ - \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^l \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle \\ \square_{i=1}^l \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Lambda_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle , \\ \square_{i=1}^l \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Omega_{l+1} \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_1}} \right\rangle , \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Psi_{i=1}^{l+1} \gamma_i \tau_i^{\natural} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle | - \langle \Xi_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} , \sqrt[\natural]{| - \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \langle | - \langle \Upsilon_1 \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} , \\ \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Lambda_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{| - \langle | - \langle \Omega_i \rangle^{\natural} \rangle^{\gamma_i}} \right\rangle \end{array} \right].$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \Psi_{i=1}^{l+1} \gamma_i \tau_i^\rho \right\rangle^{1/\rho} \\
 = & \left[ \left\langle \sqrt[\rho]{\left| 1 - \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \left| 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \left| 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \\
 & \left. \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^{l+1} \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.11.** *If all  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  and all are equal. Then  $G \natural$ -rung RFFQNWA  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \tau$ .*

3.4. Generalized  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNWG (  $G \natural$ -rung RFFQNWG)

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $G \natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\rho} \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \langle \rho \tau_i \rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle$ .

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let  $\tau_i = [\langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  be the  $\natural$ -rung RFFQNSNs. Then  $G \natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$*

$$= \left[ \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \\
 \left. \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \langle \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{1/\natural}} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \\
 \left. \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \left| 1 - \langle \langle \Lambda_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{1/\natural} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. \left\langle \sqrt[\natural]{\left| 1 - \left\langle \left| 1 - \left\langle \square_{i=1}^n \left\langle \left| 1 - \langle \langle \Omega_i \rangle \rangle^{\natural} \right\rangle^{\gamma_i} \right\rangle^{1/\natural} \right\rangle^{\natural}} \right\rangle \right. \right. \right]$$

**Corollary 3.14.** *If all  $\tau_i = [\langle \langle \Xi_i \rangle, \langle \Upsilon_i \rangle, \langle \Lambda_i \rangle, \langle \Omega_i \rangle]$  are equal. Then  $G \natural$ -rung RFFQNWG  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle = \tau$ .*

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the Editor-InChief and the anonymous referees for their various suggestions and helpful comments that have led to the improved in the quality and clarity version of the paper.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. S. Ashraf, S. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, F. Ghani and T. Mahmood, Spherical fuzzy sets and their applications in multi-attribute decision making problems, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 36, (2019), 2829–284.
2. S. Ashraf, S. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, Spherical fuzzy Dombi aggregation operators and their application in group decision making problems, *J. Amb. Intell. Hum. Comput.* 11, (2020), 2731–2749.
3. K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 20(1), (1986), 87–96.
4. B.C. Cuong and V. Kreinovich, Picture fuzzy sets a new concept for computational intelligence problems, in *Proceedings of 2013 Third World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies (WICT 2013)*, IEEE, (2013), 1–6.
5. K.G. Fatmaa, K. Cengiza, Spherical fuzzy sets and spherical fuzzy TOPSIS method, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 36(1), (2019), 337–352.
6. Gulistan, M., & Abid, M, Einstein Aggregate Operators under Q-rung Orthopair Fuzzy Hypersoft Sets with Machine Learning, *Neutrosophic Systems With Applications*, 25(2), (2025), 102–112.
7. P. Liu, G. Shahzadi, M. Akram, Specific types of picture fuzzy Yager aggregation operators for decision-making, *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, 13(1), (2020), 1072–1091.
8. W.F. Liu, J. Chang, X. He, Generalized Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators and applications in decision making, *Control Decis.* 31, (2016), 2280–2286.
9. M Palanikumar, K Arulmozhi, A Iampan, Multi criteria group decision making based on VIKOR and TOPSIS methods for Fermatean fuzzy soft with aggregation operators, *ICIC Express Letters* 16 (10), (2022), 1129–1138.
10. M Palanikumar, K Arulmozhi, MCGDM based on TOPSIS and VIKOR using Pythagorean neutrosophic soft with aggregation operators, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, (2022), 538–555.
11. X. D. Peng and J. Dai, Approaches to single-valued neutrosophic MADM based on MABAC, TOPSIS and new similarity measure with score function, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29(10), (2018), 939–954.
12. X. Peng, and Y. Yang, Fundamental properties of interval valued Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, (2015), 1–44.
13. X. Peng, H. Yuan, Fundamental properties of Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators, *Fundam. Inform.* 147, (2016), 415–446.
14. T. Temel, S. B. Aydemir, Y. Hoscan, Power Muirhead mean in spherical normal fuzzy environment and its applications to multi-attribute decision-making, *Complex and Intelligent Systems*, (2022), 1–19.
15. K. Ullah, H. Garg, T. Mahmood, N. Jan, Z. Ali, Correlation coefficients for T-spherical fuzzy sets and their applications in clustering and multi-attribute decision making, *Soft Comput.* 24, (2020), 1647–1659.
16. K. Ullah, T. Mahmood, H. Garg, Evaluation of the performance of search and rescue robots using T-spherical fuzzy hamacher aggregation operators, *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.* 22, (2020), 570–582.
17. Voskoglou, M. G., Smarandache, F., & Mohamed, M, *q*-Rung Neutrosophic Sets and Topological Spaces, *Neutrosophic Systems With Applications*, 15, (2024), 58–66.
18. R. R. Yager, Pythagorean membership degrees in multi criteria decision-making, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 22, (2014), 958–965.
19. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, 8(3), (1965), 338–353.
20. S. Zeng, W. Sua, Intuitionistic fuzzy ordered weighted distance operator, *Knowl. Based Syst.* 24, (2011), 1224–1232.
21. X. Zhang and L. Xu, Extension of TOPSIS to multiple criteria decision-making with  $(p, q)$  Diophantine Pythagorean fuzzy sets, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 29, (2014), 1061–1078.

Received: Nov. 8, 2024. Accepted: March 30, 2025